arXiv:1703.06837v2 [math.DG] 27 Mar 2017

AUTOMORPHISMS OF GENERIC GRADIENT VECTOR FIELDS WITH PRESCRIBED FINITE SYMMETRIES

IGNASI MUNDET I RIERA

Abstract. Let M be a compact and connected smooth manifold endowed with a smooth action of a finite group Γ, and let f be a Γ-invariant Morse function on M. We prove that the space of Γ-invariant Riemannian metrics on M contains a residual subset $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ with the following property. Let $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ and let $\nabla^g f$ be the gradient vector field of f with respect to g. For any diffeomorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ preserving $\nabla^g f$ there exists some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that for every $x \in M$ we have $\phi(x) = \gamma\, \Phi_t^g(x)$, where Φ_t^g is the time-t flow of the vector field $\nabla^g f$.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $\dim M = 1$ 6
3. Sternberg's linearisation theorem for families, equivariant case 10
4. The spaces of metrics $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$ and $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$ 13
5. The spheres $S_g(p)$, the distributions $\mathcal{A}_g(p)$, and the sets $F_g(p)$ 23
6. The space of metrics $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}$ 26
7. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $\dim M > 1$ 32
Appendix A. Change of the gradient flow as the metric varies 34
References 36

1. Introduction

Define the automorphism group of a vector field on a smooth manifold to be the group of diffeomorphisms of the manifold preserving the vector field. A natural question is how small the automorphism group of a vector field can be. Suppose that we only consider vector fields which are invariant under a fixed finite group action on the manifold. In this situation, the automorphism group of the vector field always includes the action of the finite group and the flow of the vector field. Our main result implies that if the manifold is compact and connected then the set of invariant gradient vector fields whose automorphism group contains nothing more than this is residual in the set of all invariant

Date: March 27, 2017.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57S17,37C10.
This work has been partially supported by the (Spanish) MEC Project MTM2015-65361-P MINECO/FEDER, UE.

gradient vector fields. (Recall that a residual subset is a countable intersection of dense open subsets. Since the space of smooth invariant gradient vector fields is Baire[1], any of its residual subsets is dense.)

Let us explain in more concrete terms our motivation and main result.

Take M to be a smooth ($=\mathcal{C}^\infty$) manifold, and denote by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the vector space of smooth vector fields on M, endowed with the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ topology. Denote the automorphism group of a vector field $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ by

$$\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) = \{\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M) \mid \phi_* \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}\}.$$

If $\mathcal{X} \neq 0$ then $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ contains a central subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, namely the flow generated by $\mathcal{X}$. Denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})/\mathbb{R}$ the quotient of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ be this subgroup.

Suppose that M is endowed with a smooth and effective action of a finite group Γ. Let $\mathfrak{X}(M)^\Gamma \subset \mathfrak{X}(M)$ be the space of Γ-invariant vector fields on M. F.J. Turiel and A. Viruel proved recently in [24] that there exists some $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)^\Gamma$ such that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})/\mathbb{R} \simeq \Gamma$. The vector field $\mathcal{X}$ is given explicitly in [24] as a gradient vector field for a carefully constructed Morse function and a suitable Riemannian metric. One may wonder, in view of that result, whether the set of $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)^\Gamma$ satisfying $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})/\mathbb{R} \simeq \Gamma$ is generic in some sense, at least if one restricts to some particular family of vector fields (such as gradient vector fields, for example). Here we give an affirmative answer to this question assuming M is compact and connected.

So suppose, for the rest of the paper, that M is compact and connected. For any function $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ and any Riemannian metric g on M we denote by $\nabla^g f \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ the gradient of f with respect to g, defined by the condition that $g(\nabla^g f, v) = df(v)$ for every $v \in TM$. The following is our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et} \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, S^2T^*M)$ denote the space of Riemannian metrics on M, with the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ topology, and let $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma \subset \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}$ denote the space of Γ-invariant metrics. Let f be a Γ-invariant Morse function on M. There exists a residual subset $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f \subset \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ such that any $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ satisfies $\mathrm{Aut}(\nabla^g f)/\mathbb{R} \simeq \Gamma$.*

By a result of Wasserman [26, Lemma 4.8], the space of Γ-invariant Morse functions on M is open and dense within the space of all Γ-invariant smooth functions on M (for openness see the comments before Lemma 4.8 in [26]). Combining this result with Theorem 1.1 it follows that if $\mathfrak{G}(M)^\Gamma \subset \mathfrak{X}(M)^\Gamma$ denotes the set of Γ-invariant gradient vector fields, then the set of $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{G}(M)^\Gamma$ satisfying $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})/\mathbb{R} \simeq \Gamma$ contains a residual subset in $\mathfrak{G}(M)^\Gamma$.

Probably Theorem 1.1 can be proved as well for most proper Γ-invariant Morse functions on open manifolds endowed with an smooth effective action of a finite group. However, there are exceptions: if $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ with $n > 1$, and Γ is a finite group acting linearly on M preserving the standard euclidean norm, then $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$, $f(v) = \|v\|^2$, is a Γ-invariant Morse function, and for any Γ-invariant Riemannian metric g on M, $\mathrm{Aut}(\nabla^g f)/\mathbb{R}$ is bigger than Γ. This follows from a result of Sternberg, see Theorem 3.1 below.

[1]Because it admits a metric with respect to which it is a complete metric space; alternatively see e.g. [14] for the non equivariant case, the necessary modifications in the equivariant case being straightforward.

For the case $\dim M = 1$ (i.e., when M is the circle) we prove a stronger form of Theorem 1.1, where residual is replaced by open and dense, see Theorem 2.1. It is not inconceivable that this can be done in all dimensions: while the author has not managed to do so, he does not have any reason to suspect that it might be false. In fact, a theorem of Palis and Yoccoz answering an analogous question (in the non-equivariant setting), with the set of gradient vector fields $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ replaced by a certain set of diffeomorphisms may suggest that it is true. To be precise, let $\mathcal{A}_1(M) \subset \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ be the (open) set of Axiom A diffeomorphisms satisfying the transversality condition and having a sink or a source. Palis and Yoccoz prove in [16] that the set of diffeomorphisms with the smallest possible centralizer contains a $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ open and dense subset of $\mathcal{A}_1(M)$. Note that the set $\mathcal{A}_1(M)$ includes Morse–Smale diffeomorphisms, which are analogues for diffeomorphisms of gradient vector fields. However, if one considers the same question for the entire diffeomorphism group endowed with the $\mathcal{C}^1$ topology then openness never holds, see [4].

Before explaining the main ideas in the proof of Theorem 1.1, let us discuss some related problems. A natural question is whether the property proved in Theorem 1.1 is true when replacing the space of invariant gradient vector fields by the entire space of invariant vector fields.

Problem A. Does the set of $\mathcal{X} \in \mathfrak{X}(M)^\Gamma$ satisfying $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})/\mathbb{R} \simeq \Gamma$ contain a residual subset of $\mathfrak{X}(M)^\Gamma$?

Problem A includes Theorem 1.1 as a particular case, since $\mathfrak{G}(M)^\Gamma$ is open in $\mathfrak{X}(M)^\Gamma$. Note that the case $\Gamma = \{1\}$ of Problem A (or even Theorem 1.1) is far from being trivial.

Define the centralizer $Z(\mathcal{X})$ of a vector field $\mathcal{X}$ on M to be the group of diffeomorphisms of M that send orbits of $\mathcal{X}$ onto orbits of $\mathcal{X}$. For any $\mathcal{X}$, $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ is a subgroup of $Z(\mathcal{X})$, and one may try to explore analogues of Theorem 1.1 and Problem A for $Z(\mathcal{X})$. However, even the right question to ask is not clear in this situation. P.R. Sad [18] studied the case $\Gamma = \{1\}$. His main result is that for a compact M there is an open and dense subset $\mathcal{A}'$ of the set of Morse–Smale vector fields $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathfrak{X}(M)$ such that for any $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{A}'$ there is a neighborhood $V \subset \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ of the identity with the property that any $\phi \in V \cap Z(\mathcal{X})$ preserves the orbits of $\mathcal{X}$. The bad news is that the restriction to a neighborhood of the identity in $\mathrm{Diff}(M)$ can not be removed, as Sad shows with an example.

It is natural to consider analogues of the previous problems replacing vector fields by diffeomorphisms. Define the automorphism group of a diffeomorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M)$ to be its centralizer, i.e., $\mathrm{Aut}(\phi) = \{\psi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M) \mid \phi\psi = \psi\phi\}$. Then $\langle\phi\rangle = \{\phi^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a central subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\phi)$. Let

$$\mathrm{Diff}^\Gamma(M) = \{\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}(M) \mid \phi \text{ commutes with the action of } \Gamma\}.$$

Problem B. Does the set of $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}^\Gamma(M)$ such that $\mathrm{Aut}(\phi)/\langle\phi\rangle \simeq \Gamma$ contain a residual subset of $\mathrm{Diff}^\Gamma(M)$?

Of course, a positive answer to Problem B does not imply a positive answer to Problem A, since a diffeomorphism ϕ such that $\mathrm{Aut}(\phi)/\langle\phi\rangle = \Gamma$ can not possibly belong to the flow of a vector field (for otherwise $\mathrm{Aut}(\phi)$ should contain a subgroup isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$).

One may consider restricted versions of Problem B involving particular diffeomorphisms, for example, equivariant Morse–Smale diffeomorphisms [8]. These are very particular diffeomorphisms, but Problem B is already substantially nontrivial for them (even in the case $\Gamma = \{1\}$, see below).

Problems A and B admit variations in which the regularity of the vector fields or the diffeomorphisms is relaxed from $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ to $\mathcal{C}^r$ for finite r. One can also consider stronger questions replacing *residual* by *open and dense* or weaker ones replacing *residual* by *dense*.

The case $\Gamma = \{1\}$ of Problem B is a famous question of Smale. It appeared for the first time in [19, Part IV, Problem (1.1)], in more elaborate form in [20], and it was included in his list of 18 problems for the present century [21]. It was solved for Morse–Smale $\mathcal{C}^1$-diffeomorphisms by Togawa [23] and very recently for arbitrary $\mathcal{C}^1$-diffeomorphisms by C. Bonatti, S. Crovisier, A. Wilkinson in [2] (see the survey [3] for further references). The analogous problem for higher regularity diffeomorphisms is open at present, although there are by now plenty of partial results: see e.g. [12] for the case of the circle, [16] for elements in the set $\mathcal{A}_1(M)$ defined above, and [17] for Anosov diffeomorphisms of tori.

Theorem 1.1 may be compared to similar results for other types of tensors. For example, it has been proved in [13] that on a compact manifold the set of metrics of fixed signature with trivial isometry group is open and dense in the space of all such metrics (see also [7] for an infinitesimal version of this with the compactness condition removed). In contrast with this example and with the case considered in the present paper, for some types of tensors there is an open set of choices for which the automorphism group is infinite dimensional (for example, the space of contact 1-forms, which is open in the set of all 1-forms endowed with the $\mathcal{C}^r$-topology for any $r \geq 1$).

1.1. **Main ideas of the proof and differences with the non equivariant case.** To prove Theorem 1.1 we treat separately the cases $\dim M = 1$ and $\dim M > 1$. The case $\dim M = 1$ is addressed in Section 2. The proof uses rather ad hoc methods. An interesting ingredient is an invariant of vector fields which, when nonzero, distinguishes changes of orientation, and which plays an important role in the classification up to diffeomorphisms of vector fields on S^1 with nondegenerate zeroes.

The main ingredients in the case $\dim M > 1$ are common to other papers addressing similar problems. The two most important ones are: (1) a theorem of Sternberg [22] on linearisation of vector fields near sinks and sources, assuming there are no resonances: the use of this result in this kind of problems goes back to work of Kopell [12], and appears in papers of Anderson [1] and Palis and Yoccoz [16] among others; (2) a theorem of Kopell [12, Theorem 6] on automorphisms of vector fields restricted to the (un)stable manifolds of sink/sources satisfying the same restrictions as those of Sternberg's theorem. We also need an extension of Sternerg's theorem for families proved by Anderson in [1].

To apply these theorems in our situation we need to generalize them to an equivariant setting under the presence of finite symmetries. These poses several difficulties. For example, in the equivariant case we can not suppose that the eigenvalues of the linearisation of a generic vector field at fixed points are all different: high multiplicities can not be avoided; in particular, the centraliser of the linearisation is not necessarily abelian (both Anderson [1] and Palis–Yoccoz [16] restrict themselves to the case in which the eigenvalues are different). This is relevant for example when extending the version of Sternberg's theorem for families proved by Anderson to the equivariant setting (see Section 3 for details on this).

An additional difficulty of the presence of symmetries is that equivariance tends not to be a good friend of transversality, as is well known. In particular, we cannot expect that for a generic choice of equivariant metric the stable and unstable manifolds intersect

transversely. This has the important consequence that the Kopell–Anderson identity principle (which plays a crucial role in [1] and, appropriately generalized, in [16]) does not work in the equivariant setting. The reason is that the collection of codimension 1 (un)stable manifolds form walls that can disconnect our manifold even for a choice of (equivariant) generic metric. This phenomenon motivates the definition of the metrics $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$ in Section 4.

As an example of this, consider the regular surface

$$S = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 = 4z^2 - 4z^4 + \epsilon\}$$

for some small $\epsilon > 0$. S is the result of rotating the curve

$$C_\epsilon = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid v^2 = 4u^2 - 4u^4 + \epsilon\}$$

around the u-axis, and C_ϵ is the outer boundary of a neighborhood of C_0 (which is a lemniscate). Let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}/2$ and consider the action of Γ on S given by the involution $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, -z)$. The function

$$f : S \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad f(x, y, z) = x$$

is a Γ-invariant Morse function, which has two local minima, two local maxima, and two saddle points. They correspond to the six critical points of the function $C_\epsilon \to \mathbb{R}$ sending (u, v) to v. The fixed point set S^Γ is the circle $F = S \cap \{z = 0\}$, which contains the two saddle points of f. For any Γ-invariant Riemannian metric g on S the gradient vector field $\nabla^g f$ is tangent to F, and this means that F is the union of the stable manifold of one saddle and the unstable manifold of the other one. Finally, $S \setminus F$ consists of two connected components.

We close this subsection with a more concrete description of the proof of the case $\dim M > 1$. Suppose a Γ-invariant Morse function f has been chosen. The set of metrics $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ is defined as the intersection of two sets of invariant metrics, $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$ and $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$, followed with the intersection of a countable sequence of subsets $\{\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}\}_{K\in\mathbb{N}}$. Each of these sets is open and dense in $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$.

The metrics $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$, defined in Subsection 4.1, have two properties: (1) the eigenvalues of the differential of $\nabla^g f$ at each critical point are as much different among themselves as they can be (in particular, the collection of eigenvalues at two critical points coincide if and only if the two points belong to the same Γ-orbit), and (2) there are no resonances among eigenvalues at any critical point. The second property allows us to use Sternberg's theorem on linearisation on neighborhoods of sinks and sources, and a theorem of Kopell which limits enormously the automorphisms of the gradient vector field restricted to (un)stable manifolds ar sinks/sources.

The set $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$ is defined in Subsection 4.4, and its elements g have the property that the intersections of (un)stable manifolds of codimension 1 of $\nabla^g f$ are generic (so these intersections have codimension 2), except in the case where they are included in the fixed point set of involutions in Γ (the latter situation can not be avoided, as showed in the example above). This property has the consequence that M is divided in finitely many connected components, and for each of these connected components M_0 the intersection of M_0 with the (un)stable manifolds of all sinks and sources is arconnected. Hence, the Kopell–Anderson identity principle can be applied to each connected component M_0.

The sets $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}$, defined in Subsection 6, have the following property. Let $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}$. Suppose that p is a sink and $W^s_g(p)$ is the stable manifold of p for $\nabla^g f$, and that q is a source and $W^u_g(q)$ is its unstable manifold for $\nabla^g f$. If $W^s_g(p) \cap W^u_g(q)$ is nonempty, then the only automorphisms of $\nabla^g f$ restricted to $W^s_g(p)$ whose derivative at p has norm at most K, and that match on $W^s_g(p) \cap W^u_g(q)$ with an automorphism of $\nabla^g f$ restricted to $W^u_g(q)$, are the ones coming from the action of Γ and the flow of $\nabla^g f$.

After defining these sets of metrics, in Section 7 we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 for manifolds of dimension greater than one, showing that if $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ then $\mathrm{Aut}(\nabla^g f)/\mathbb{R} \simeq \Gamma$.

The paper concludes with an appendix proving some technical results on the variation of the gradient flow of $\nabla^g f$ with respect to variations of g.

2. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $\dim M = 1$

In this section we prove the case $\dim M = 1$ of Theorem 1.1. More concretely, we prove the following.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose that a finite group Γ acts smoothly and effectively on S^1 and let f be a Γ-equivariant Morse function on S^1. Let $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ denote the set of Γ-invariant Riemannian metrics on S^1. There exists a dense and open subset $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f \subset \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ such that for every $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ we have $\mathrm{Aut}(\nabla^g f)/\mathbb{R} \simeq \Gamma$.*

We will prove Theorem 2.1 in Subsection 2.2 below.

2.1. Classifying nondegenerate vector fields on the circle.

To prove Theorem 2.1 we will need, in the case when Γ is generated by a rotation, an invariant of nondegenerate vector fields on the circle that detects change of orientations. This invariant is one of the ingredients of the classification of nondegenerate vector fields on the circle up to orientation preserving diffeomorphism. Detailed expositions of this classification (in the broader context of vector fields with zeroes of finite order) have appeared in [6, 11]. Here we briefly explain the main ideas of this result, focusing on the definition of the invariant, both for completeness and to set the notation for later use.

For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and vector field $\mathcal{X}$ we denote by $\Phi^{\mathcal{X}}_t \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ the flow of $\mathcal{X}$ at time t.

We first consider the local classification of vector fields with a nondegenerate zero. For any nonzero real number λ we denote by $\mathcal{F}_\lambda$ the set of germs of vector fields on a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}$ of the form $h\,\partial_x$, where $h(0) = 0$ and $h'(0) = \lambda$ and x is the standard coordinate in $\mathbb{R}$. Let $\mathcal{G}$ denote the group of germs of diffeomorphisms of neighborhoods of 0 in $\mathbb{R}$. For any $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ we denote by $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ the group of all $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\phi_*\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}$. For example, $\Phi^{\mathcal{X}}_t \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ for every t.

Lemma 2.2. *Let λ, μ be nonzero real numbers.*

1. *Given $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{F}_\mu$ there exists some $\phi \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfying $\phi_*\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu$.*
2. *For any λ and $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$ the map $D : \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathbb{R}^*$ sending ϕ to $\phi'(0)$ is an isomorphism of groups. Furthermore, $D\Phi^{\mathcal{X}}_t = e^{\lambda t}$.*

Proof. (1) the "only if" part follows from a straightforward computation. To prove the "if" part it suffices to consider the case $\mathcal{X} = \lambda x\,\partial_x$ and $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{F}_\lambda$. Writing $\phi(x) = x\psi(x)$ and $\mathcal{Y} = h\,\partial_x$ with $h(x) = \lambda x(1 + xg(x))$, the condition $\phi_*(\lambda x\,\partial_x) = \mathcal{Y}$ translates into

$$\lambda x\phi'(x) = h(\phi(x)) \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \psi'(x) = \psi(x)^2 g(x\psi(x)); \tag{1}$$

the RHS is a first order ODE, so by Cauchy's theorem it has a unique germ of solution for every choice of initial value $\psi(0)$. (2) follows from the same argument, taking into account that $\phi'(0) = \psi(0)$. To check $D\Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}} = e^{\lambda t}$ it suffices by (1) to consider the case $\mathcal{X} = \lambda x\,\partial_x$. In this case, $\Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}}$ is multiplication by $e^{\lambda t}$, so clearly $D\Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}} = e^{\lambda t}$. □

We mention in passing that to prove the case $\dim M > 1$ of Theorem 1.1 we will need to extend the previous lemma to higher dimensions, in a way equivariant with respect to finite group actions. This extension will be based on non-equivariant higher dimensional analogues of statements (1) and (2), which are respectively a theorem of Sternberg (see [22] and Theorem 3.1 below) and a theorem of Kopell (see [12] and Subsection 4.1 below). Both results are substantially deeper than Lemma 2.2, and in particular they require a condition of non-resonance which is trivial in the one dimensional case.

We next explain the classification of nondegenerate vector fields on the circle. We identify S^1 with $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, so vector fields on S^1 can be written as

$$\mathcal{X} = h\,\partial_x$$

where h is a 2π-periodic smooth function. We say that $\mathcal{X}$ is nondegenerate if $h(y) = 0$ implies $h'(y) \neq 0$ ($h'(y)$ can be identified with the derivative of $\mathcal{X}$ at $y \in h^{-1}(0)$). An immediate consequence is that h contains finitely many zeroes in $[0, 2\pi)$. Another consequence is that h changes sign when crossing any zero of h, and this implies that $h^{-1}(0)$ contains an even number of elements in $[0, 2\pi)$. To classify nondegenerate vector fields on the circle we will associate to them the number of zeroes, their derivatives at the zeroes (up to cyclic order), and a global invariant denoted by χ.

To define χ suppose first of all that h has no zeroes. Denoting by $\Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}}$ the flow of $\mathcal{X}$ seen as a vector field on $\mathbb{R}$, there is a unique real number t such that $\Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}}(y) = y + 2\pi$ for every $y \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we set

$$\chi(\mathcal{X}) := t.$$

Now suppose that h vanishes somewhere, and write its zeroes contained in $[0, 2\pi)$ as

$$0 \leq z_1 < z_2 < \cdots < z_{2r} < 2\pi.$$

We extend this finite list to an infinite sequence by setting $z_{i+2r} = z_i$ for every integer i. Below, we implicitly consider similar periodic extensions for all objects that we are going to associate to the zeroes z_i. By (2) in Lemma 2.2, for every i there exists a connected neighborhood U_i of z_i, disjoint from z_{i-1} and z_{i+1}, and a unique smooth involution $\sigma_i : U_i \to U_i$ such that

$$\sigma_i(z_i) = z_i, \qquad \sigma_i'(z_i) = -1, \qquad (\sigma_i)_*\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}. \tag{2}$$

Choose for every i some $t_i^+ > z_i$ contained in U_i and define $t_i^- = \sigma_i(t_i^+)$. Then we have $t_i^+, t_{i+1}^- \in (z_i, z_{i+1})$, so there is a unique real number ρ_i such that

$$t_{i+1}^- = \Phi_{\rho_i}^{\mathcal{X}}(t_i^+).$$

Note that ρ_i has the same sign as $h'(z_i)$. Now we define

$$\chi(\mathcal{X}) := \sum_{i=1}^{2r} \rho_i. \tag{3}$$

Lemma 2.3. *The number $\chi(\mathcal{X})$ only depends on $\mathcal{X}$, and not on the choices of $t_i^{\pm}$. Furthermore, endowing the set of generic vector fields with the $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ topology the map $\mathcal{X} \mapsto \chi(\mathcal{X})$ is continuous.*

Proof. We first prove that $\chi(\mathcal{X})$ does not depend on the choices of $t_i^{\pm}$. If for any i we replace $t_i^{\pm}$ by $(t_i')^{\pm}$, then the requirement that $(t_i')^- = \sigma_i((t_i')^+)$ implies that $(t_i')^{\pm} = \Phi^{\mathcal{X}}_{\pm\delta}(t_i^{\pm})$ for some δ, so ρ_i gets replaced by $\rho_i - \delta$ and ρ_{i-1} gets replaced by $\rho_{i-1} + \delta$, and hence (3) remains unchanged.

To prove that $\chi(\mathcal{X})$ depends continuously on $\mathcal{X}$ we first observe that any other vector field sufficiently close to $\mathcal{X}$ is also generic and has vanishing locus close to that of $\mathcal{X}$. Hence, once we have fixed the intervals U_i and points t_i^+ above, there is a neighborhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ in the space of all vector fields on the circle such that if $\mathcal{Y} \in \mathcal{V}$ and we write $\mathcal{Y} = k\,\partial_x$ then $k^{-1}(0) \subset \bigcup_i U_i$, each U_i contains a unique zero w_i of k, and $w_i < t_i^+$. So it suffices to prove that given $\delta > 0$, choosing $\mathcal{V}$ small enough, the involution $\sigma_i^{\mathcal{Y}}$ satisfying (2) with $\mathcal{X}$ resp. z_i replaced by $\mathcal{Y}$ resp. w_i has the property that $\sigma_i^{\mathcal{Y}}(t_i^+)$ is well defined and at distance $< \delta$ from $\sigma_i(t_i^+)$.

The previous property will follow if we prove that σ_i depends continuously on $\mathcal{X}$. This is a local question, so let us assume that $\mathcal{X}$ is a vector field defined on an open interval $0 \in I \subset \mathbb{R}$ with $\mathcal{X} = g\,\partial_x$ and satisfying $g^{-1}(0) = \{0\}$ and $g'(0) \neq 0$; by Lemma 2.2 there is an open interval $0 \in J \subset \mathbb{R}$ and a smooth embedding $\phi : J \to I$ such that $\phi_*(\lambda x\,\partial_x) = \mathcal{X}$ for some nonzero real λ. Both ϕ and λ depend continuously on $\mathcal{X}$: this is evident from the point of view of the RHS in (1). Take $U = \phi(J \cap -J)$. The map $\sigma : U \to U$ defined as $\sigma(x) = \phi(-\phi^{-1}(x))$ is a smooth involution of U and it satisfies $\sigma_*\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}$. By the previous observations it is clear that σ depends continuously on $\mathcal{X}$. □

The following is almost immediate.

Lemma 2.4. *If ϕ is an orientation reversing diffeomorphism of $\mathcal{X}$ then $\chi(\phi_*\mathcal{X}) = -\chi(\mathcal{X})$.*

This is the classification theorem of nondegenerate vector fields on S^1:

Theorem 2.5. *Given two vector fields $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ on the circle, there exists an orientation preserving diffeomorphism $\phi \in \mathrm{Diff}^+(S^1)$ satisfying $\phi_*\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{Y}$ if and only if $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$ have the same number of zeroes, the collection of derivatives at the zeroes of $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$, travelling along S^1 counterclockwise, coincide up to a cyclic permutation, and $\chi(\mathcal{X}) = \chi(\mathcal{Y})$.*

We are not going to prove the previous theorem. In fact we will only use the "only if" part of it, which is rather obvious from the definitions; the proof of the "if" part is an easy exercise using Lemma 2.2. See [6, 11] for detailed proofs of a more general result.

We close this subsection with another result that will be used in the proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $h : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that $h(0) = h(1) = 0$, and that h does not vanish on the open interval $(0, 1)$. Let $\mathcal{X} = h\,\partial_x$. We have:

Lemma 2.6. *Any diffeomorphism $\phi : (0,1) \to (0,1)$ satisfying $\phi_* \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}$ is equal to $\Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular if a diffeomorphism $\phi : (0,1) \to (0,1)$ satisfying $\phi_* \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}$ is the identity on an open subset of $(0,1)$ then ϕ is the identity on the entire $(0,1)$.*

Proof. Take any $y \in (0,1)$. The hypothesis on h imply that the map $\mathbb{R} \to (0,1)$ sending t to $\Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}}(y)$ is a diffeomorphism. Hence, $\phi(y) = \Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}}(y)$ for some t. The condition that $\phi_* \mathcal{X} = \mathcal{X}$ implies that ϕ commutes with $\Phi_u^{\mathcal{X}}$ for every u. Let $z = \Phi_s^{\mathcal{X}}(y) \in (0,1)$. Then

$$\phi(z) = \phi \circ \Phi_s^{\mathcal{X}}(y) = \Phi_s^{\mathcal{X}} \circ \phi(y) = \Phi_s^{\mathcal{X}} \circ \Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}}(y) = \Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}} \circ \Phi_s^{\mathcal{X}}(y) = \Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}}(z),$$

which is what we wanted to prove. The second statement follows immediately. □

2.2. **Proof of Theorem 2.1.** Let Γ be a finite group acting smoothly and effectively on S^1, and let $f : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ be a Γ-invariant Morse function. Let $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$ be the set of critical points of f. Any Γ-invariant Riemannian metric in $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^{\Gamma}$ is isometric to the round circle

$$\{x^2 + y^2 = r^2\}$$

for some $r > 0$, and this allows to identify the action of Γ on S^1 with the action of a cyclic or a dihedral group. We treat separately the two possibilities.

2.2.1. *Dihedral groups.* Suppose first that Γ is dihedral. Then Γ contains elements that reverse the orientation. Let $p \in S^1$ be a fixed point on an orientation reversing element of Γ, and let $\Gamma_0 \subset \Gamma$ be the subgroup of the elements which act preserving the orientation. By looking at the standard action of dihedral groups on the round circle (via the identification with the isometry group of an inscribed regular polygon) it is clear that $\Gamma\, p = \Gamma_0\, p$. On the other hand, p is necessarily a critical point of f, because f is Γ-invariant.

Now define $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ to be the set of all metrics $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^{\Gamma}$ with the following property: for any $x, y \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$,

$$D\nabla^g f(x) = D\nabla^g f(y) \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad x \text{ and } y \text{ belong to the same } \Gamma\text{-orbit.} \tag{4}$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^{\Gamma}$. Now suppose that $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ and let $\mathcal{X} = \nabla^g f$. To prove that $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})/\mathbb{R} \simeq \Gamma$ we consider an arbitrary $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$ and show that composing ϕ with the action of suitable chosen elements of Γ and with the flow $\Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}}$ for a suitable choice of t we obtain the identity morphism.

So take $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\nabla^g f)$. By hypothesis, up to composing ϕ with the action of some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ we may assume that ϕ is orientation preserving. By (4) we have $\phi(p) \in \Gamma\, p$, and since $\Gamma\, p = \Gamma_0\, p$ we deduce that up to composing ϕ with the action of some element of Γ_0 we can assume that ϕ preserves the orientation and fixes p. This clearly implies that ϕ fixes all critical points of f.

Let us label counterclockwise the critical points of f as $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_{2r}$. By Lemma 2.2, up to composing ϕ with $\Phi_t^{\mathcal{X}}$ for some choice of t we may assume that ϕ is the identity on a neighborhood of p_1. This implies that ϕ is the identity on the entire circle. Indeed, by Lemma 2.6, ϕ is the identity on the arc from p_1 to p_2. This implies, using Lemma 2.2, that ϕ is the identity on a neighborhood of p_2. We next apply Lemma 2.6 to the arc from p_2 to p_3 and conclude that the restriction of ϕ to this arc is equal to the identity. An so on, until we have traveled around the entire circle.

2.2.2. *Cyclic groups.* Suppose that Γ is a cyclic group. The only case in which Γ can contain orientation reversing elements is that in which Γ consists of two elements, the nontrivial one being an orientation reversing involution of S^1. This situation can be addressed with the arguments of the previous case, so let us assume here that all elements of Γ preserve the orientation. Then we define $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ to be the set of all metrics $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ with the following two properties:

(1) if $x, y \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ and $D\nabla^g f(x) = D\nabla^g f(y)$ then $\Gamma\, x = \Gamma\, y$,
(2) $\chi(\nabla^g f) \neq 0$.

We claim that $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$. The first property is the same as in the previous case, and it poses no difficulty. As for the second, the fact that $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ is dense follows immediately from the definition of χ: if for some choice of g we have $\chi(\nabla^g f) = 0$, then modifying slightly g away from the critical points we may force χ to take a nonzero value; furthermore, the modification of g can be made Γ-invariant because Γ is generated by a rotation (note that, in contrast, if Γ is a dihedral group then for any Γ-invariant metric g we have $\chi(\nabla^g f) = 0$). Finally, the fact that $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ is open follows from the second statement in Lemma 2.3.

Now take any $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$, let $\mathcal{X} = \nabla^g f$, and let $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathcal{X})$. Since $\chi(\mathcal{X}) \neq 0$, by Lemma 2.4 there is no orientation reversing diffeomorphism of S^1 which preserves $\mathcal{X}$, and hence ϕ is orientation preserving. Let p be any critical point of f. By the first property of the metrics in $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$, we have $\phi(p) \in \Gamma\, p$, so up to composing ϕ with the action of some element of p we deduce that $\phi(p) = p$. Since ϕ preserves the orientation, it fixes all the other critical points, and the argument is concluded as in the case of dihedral groups. Hence the proof of Theorem 2.1 is now complete.

In the remainder of the paper we are going to assume that $\dim M > 1$.

3. Sternberg's linearisation theorem for families, equivariant case

We begin this section recalling Sternberg's linearisation theorem [22, Theorem 4], which extends to the smooth setting an analytic result proved by Poincaré in his thesis:

Theorem 3.1 (Sternberg)**.** *Let $0 \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and let $\mathcal{X} : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a smooth vector field satisfying $\mathcal{X}(0) = 0$. Suppose that the derivative $D\mathcal{X}(0)$ diagonalises and has (possibly complex) eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$, repeated with multiplicity. Suppose that each λ_i has negative real part, and that*

$$\lambda_i \neq \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_j \lambda_j, \qquad \text{for any } i, \text{ and any } \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ satisfying } \sum \alpha_j \geq 2. \tag{5}$$

Then there exists open sets $0 \in U' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $0 \in U'' \subset U$, and a diffeomorphism $\phi : U'' \to U'$, such that $D\phi(0) = \mathrm{Id}$ and $\phi \circ \mathcal{X} \circ \phi^{-1} = D\mathcal{X}(0)$.

Actually [22, Theorem 4] states that ϕ can be chosen to be $\mathcal{C}^k$ for every sufficiently big (but finite) k. The fact that ϕ can be assumed to be $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ follows from [12, Theorem 6].

Sternberg proved in [22] an analogous theorem for local diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$. Later, Anderson proved [1, §2, Lemma] a parametric version of Sternberg's theorem for diffeomorphisms, which can be translated, using the arguments in [22, §6], into a theorem on

vector fields. Before stating it, we introduce some notation. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open disk centered at 0, and let $\Delta \subset D$ be a smaller concentric disk. Let r be a natural number. For any smooth map $\mathcal{X} : D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ define $\|\mathcal{X}\|_{\Delta,r} = \sup_{x\in\Delta} \|D^r\mathcal{X}(x)\|$, where $\|D^r\mathcal{X}(x)\|$ denotes the sum of the norms of all partial derivatives of $\mathcal{X}$ at x of degree $\leq r$. This defines a (non separated!) topology on $\mathrm{Map}_0(D, \mathbb{R}^n)$, the set of all smooth maps $D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ fixing 0, and we denote by $\mathrm{Map}_0(D, \mathbb{R}^n)_{\Delta,r}$ the resulting topological space. This is the analogue of Anderson's theorem for vector fields:

Theorem 3.2. *Let $L : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$ be a linear map which diagonalises with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ satisfying (5). Assume that each λ_i has negative real part, and that $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$. There exists a neighborhood N of L in $\mathrm{Map}_0(D, \mathbb{R}^n)_{\Delta,r+1}$ and a continuous map*

$$\Phi : N \to \mathrm{Map}_0(D, \mathbb{R}^n)_{\Delta,r}$$

such that:

1. *for every $\mathcal{X} \in N$, $D\Phi(\mathcal{X})(0) = \mathrm{Id}$, so $\Phi(\mathcal{X})$ gives a diffeomorphism $U_{\mathcal{X}} \to U'_{\mathcal{X}}$ between neighborhoods of 0,*
2. *for every $\mathcal{X} \in N$, $\Phi(\mathcal{X}) \circ \mathcal{X} \circ \Phi(\mathcal{X})^{-1} : U'_{\mathcal{X}} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is equal to $D\mathcal{X}(0)$.*

We are going to need an analogue of Theorem 3.2 in an equivariant setting. However, as was mentioned in the introduction, the presence of symmetries usually forces eigenvalues to have high multiplicity, and consequently the hypothesis in Theorem 3.2 will most of the times not hold.

Now the (only) reason why Anderson assumes the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ to be pairwise distinct is that he needs to be able to diagonalize the linear maps close to L in a continuous way. To state this more precisely, let $\mathrm{GL}^*(\mathbb{R}, n) \subset \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ denote the open and dense set of linear automorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^n$ all of whose eigenvalues are distinct. Anderson uses the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *Any $L \in \mathrm{GL}^*(n, \mathbb{R})$ admits a neighborhood $U \subset \mathrm{GL}^*(n, \mathbb{R})$ and smooth maps $f_1, \ldots, f_n : U \to \mathbb{C}^n$ so that for any $L' \in U$ the vectors $f_1(L'), \ldots, f_n(L')$ form a basis of $\mathbb{C}^n$ with respect to which L' diagonalizes.*

So to obtain an equivariant analogue of Theorem 3.2 it suffices to define some open and dense subset of the set of equivariant automorphisms of a vector space enjoying the same property as $\mathrm{GL}^*(n, \mathbb{R})$. This is the purpose of the following lemma, which also proves a property on centralizers that will be used later in the paper.

Suppose that V is an n-dimensional real vector space, and that a finite group G acts linearly on V. Denote the centralizer of any $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Aut}(V)$ by

$$Z(\Lambda) = \{\Lambda' \in \mathrm{Aut}(V) \mid \Lambda\Lambda' = \Lambda'\Lambda\}.$$

Let $\mathrm{Aut}_G(V)$ denote the Lie group of automorphisms of V commuting with the G action. Define $\mathrm{Aut}^*_G(V)$ to be the set of all $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Aut}_G(V)$ such that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the (G-invariant) subspace $\mathrm{Ker}(\Lambda - \lambda\,\mathrm{Id}) \subset V$ is irreducible as a representation of G.

Lemma 3.4. *The subset $\mathrm{Aut}^*_G(V)$ is open and dense in $\mathrm{Aut}_G(V)$. Any $\Lambda \in \mathrm{Aut}^*_G(V)$ has a neighborhood $U \subset \mathrm{Aut}^*_G(V)$ with smooth maps $f_1, \ldots, f_n : U \to V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ so that for any $\Lambda' \in U$ the vectors $f_1(\Lambda'), \ldots, f_n(\Lambda')$ form a basis of $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ with respect to which Λ'*

diagonalizes, and conjugation by $(f_1', \dots, f_n')(f_1, \dots, f_n)^{-1}$ *gives an isomorphism*

$$Z(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Z(\Lambda')$$

(here given a basis $a_1, \dots, a_n \in V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ *we denote by* $(a_1, \dots, a_n) : \mathbb{C}^n \to V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ *the isomorphism* $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \mapsto \sum \lambda_i a_i$*).*

Proof. Denote by $\widehat{G}$ the set of irreducible characters of G. For any $\xi \in \widehat{G}$ let V_ξ be a G-representation with character ξ. As a G-representation, we may identify V with $\bigoplus_{\xi \in \widehat{G}} V_\xi \otimes E_\xi$, where each E_ξ is a vector space with trivial G-action. By Schur's lemma the space of G-equivariant endomorphisms of V is

$$\operatorname{End}_G(V) = \bigoplus_{\xi \in \widehat{G}} \operatorname{End} E_\xi.$$

An endomorphism $\Lambda = (\Lambda_\xi)_\xi$ (here $\Lambda_\xi \in \operatorname{End} E_\xi$ for each ξ) belongs to $\operatorname{Aut}_G(V)$ exactly when $\prod_\xi \det \Lambda_\xi \neq 0$, and it belongs to $\operatorname{Aut}_G^*(V)$ if and only if, additionally, no root of the polynomial $\prod_\xi \det(\Lambda_\xi - x \operatorname{Id}_{E_\xi}) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$ has multiplicity bigger than one. This condition implies that $\Lambda_\xi \in \operatorname{GL}^*(E_\xi)$ for each ξ. Applying Lemma 3.3 to each Λ_ξ we deduce the existence of a neighborhood $U \subset \operatorname{Aut}_G^*(V)$ of Λ and smooth maps $f_1, \dots, f_n : U \to V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n : U \to \mathbb{C}$ so that for any $\Lambda' \in U$ we have $\Lambda'(f_j(\Lambda')) = \lambda_j(\Lambda') f_j(\Lambda')$ for every j. To conclude the proof, note that for any $\Lambda' \in U$ we can identify $Z(\Lambda')$ with the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(V)$ preserving the subspace of $V \otimes \mathbb{C}$ spanned by $\{f_j(\Lambda') \mid \lambda_j(\Lambda') = \lambda\}$ for each λ. Shrinking U if necessary we may assume that for any i, j and any $\Lambda' \in U$ we have

$$\lambda_i(\Lambda') = \lambda_j(\Lambda') \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \lambda_i(\Lambda) = \lambda_j(\Lambda).$$

Then conjugation by $(f_1', \dots, f_n')(f_1, \dots, f_n)^{-1}$ gives an isomorphism $Z(\Lambda) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Z(\Lambda')$. □

Take some G-invariant Euclidean metric on V, let $D \subset V$ be an open disk centered at 0, and let $\Delta \subset D$ be a smaller concentric disk. Let r be a natural number. For any smooth map $\mathcal{X} : D \to V$ define $\|\mathcal{X}\|_{\Delta,r} = \sup_{x \in \Delta} \|D^r \mathcal{X}(x)\|$ as before. This defines a topology on $\operatorname{Map}_{G,0}(D, V)$, the set of all G-equivariant smooth maps $D \to V$ fixing 0. Let $\operatorname{Map}_{G,0}(D, V)_{\Delta,r}$ be the resulting topological space. Define analogously $\operatorname{Map}_0(D, V)_{\Delta,r}$ by dropping the equivariance condition. Combining the previous lemma with the arguments in [1, §2, Lemma] and [22, §6] we obtain the following.

Theorem 3.5. *Let* $L \in \operatorname{Aut}_G^*(V)$ *have eigenvalues* $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ *satisfying (5) and suppose that each* λ_i *has negative real part. There is a neighborhood* N *of* L *in* $\operatorname{Map}_{G,0}(D, V)_{\Delta,r+1}$ *and a continuous map*

$$\Phi : N \to \operatorname{Map}_{G,0}(D, V)_{\Delta,r}$$

such that:

1. *for every* $\mathcal{X} \in N$, $D\Phi(\mathcal{X})(0) = \operatorname{Id}$, *so* $\Phi(\mathcal{X})$ *gives a diffeomorphism* $U_{\mathcal{X}} \to U'_{\mathcal{X}}$ *between neighborhoods of* 0,
2. *for every* $\mathcal{X} \in N$, $\Phi(\mathcal{X}) \circ \mathcal{X} \circ \Phi(\mathcal{X})^{-1} : U'_{\mathcal{X}} \to V$ *is equal to* $D\mathcal{X}(0)$.

The only part in the statement of Theorem 3.5 that does not follow immediately is the fact that the conjugating map Φ may be chosen to take values in $\operatorname{Map}_{G,0}(D, V)_{\Delta,r}$. Sternberg's argument provides a (continuous, by Anderson) map $\Phi_0 : N \to \operatorname{Map}_0(D, V)_{\Delta,r}$,

satisfying (i) $D\Phi_0(\mathcal{X})(0) = \mathrm{Id}$ and (ii) $\Phi_0(\mathcal{X}) \circ \mathcal{X} \circ \Phi_0(\mathcal{X})^{-1} = D\mathcal{X}(0)$ (in a neighborhood of 0), but $\Phi_0(\mathcal{X})$ is not necessarily equivariant. Now, equality (ii) is equivalent to

$$\Phi_0(\mathcal{X}) \circ \mathcal{X} = D\mathcal{X}(0) \circ \Phi_0(\mathcal{X}), \tag{6}$$

so setting

$$\Phi(\mathcal{X})(x) = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} g\Phi_0(\mathcal{X})(g^{-1}x) \in V$$

for every $x \in D$, we have $\Phi(\mathcal{X}) \in \mathrm{Map}_{G,0}(D, V)_{\Delta,r}$, and equation (6) immediately gives $\Phi(\mathcal{X}) \circ \mathcal{X} = D\mathcal{X}(0) \circ \Phi(\mathcal{X})$. Trivially we also have $D\Phi(\mathcal{X})(0) = \mathrm{Id}$ for every $\mathcal{X}$, and $\Phi(\mathcal{X}) : D \to V$ is G-equivariant. The map $\Phi : N \to \mathrm{Map}_{G,0}(D, V)_{\Delta,r}$ is continuous, because Φ_0 is, so now Theorem 3.5 is clear.

4. The spaces of metrics $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$ and $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$

Let M be a compact connected n-dimensional manifold satisfying $n > 1$. Suppose that M is endowed with a smooth and effective action of a finite group Γ. As usual, we denote the stabilizer of any $x \in M$ by

$$\Gamma_x = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma x = x\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}$ denote the space of Riemannian metrics on M, and let $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma \subset \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}$ be the subset of Γ-invariant metrics.

Let

$$f : M \to \mathbb{R}$$

be a Γ-invariant Morse function. This function will be fixed throughout the rest of the paper. If p is a critical point of f, so that $\nabla^g f(p) = 0$, the derivative $D\nabla^g f(p)$ is a well defined endomorphism of T_pM (one may define it using a connection on TM, but the result will be independent of the chosen connection). It is an easy exercise to prove that $D\nabla^g f(p)$ is self adjoint with respect to the Euclidean norm on T_pM given by g. In particular, $D\nabla^g f(p)$ diagonalizes.

We denote the index of a critical point p of f by $\mathrm{Ind}_f(p)$. For any integer k let

$$\mathrm{Crit}_k(f) = \{p \in \mathrm{Crit}(f) \mid \mathrm{Ind}_f(p) = k\}$$

and let $\mathrm{Crit}(f) = \bigcup_k \mathrm{Crit}_k(f)$. Define the set of sinks of f to be $\mathbb{I} = \mathrm{Crit}_n(f)$ and the set of sources to be $\mathbb{O} = \mathrm{Crit}_0(f)$. The name is motivated by the fact that the elements in $\mathbb{I}$ (resp. $\mathbb{O}$) are the sinks (resp. sources) of the the gradient vector field $\nabla^g f$ for every g. Denote also by $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{I} \cup \mathbb{O}$ the collection of all local extremes of f.

For any $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}$ and any real number t let $\Phi_t^g : M \to M$ denote the flow at time t of $\nabla^g f$. Define the stable and unstable manifolds of $p \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ to be, respectively,

$$W_g^s(p) = \{q \in M \mid \lim_{t \to \infty} \Phi_t^g(q) = p\}, \qquad W_g^u(p) = \{q \in M \mid \lim_{t \to -\infty} \Phi_t^g(q) = p\}.$$

For any $p \in \mathbb{E}$ and any $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ let

$$L_g(p) = \{\psi \in \mathrm{Aut}(T_pM) \mid (D\nabla^g f(p))\psi = \psi(D\nabla^g f(p))\} = Z(D\nabla^g f(p)).$$

Since Γ is finite and it acts effectively on M, we can identify Γ_p with a subgroup of $L_g(p)$ (see (2) in Lemma 4.3 below).

4.1. **The metrics in $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$: generic eigenvalues at critical points.** Let

$$\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$$

denote set of Γ-invariant metrics g satisfying the following conditions:

(C1) for any $p \in \mathbb{E}$ the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ of the linearization $D\nabla^g f(p)$ satisfy (5);
(C2) if $p, q \in \mathbb{E}$, then the eigenvalues of $D\nabla^g f(p)$ and $D\nabla^g f(q)$ coincide if and only if p and q belong to the same Γ-orbit;
(C3) for any $p \in \mathbb{E}$ we have $D\nabla^g f(p) \in \mathrm{Aut}^*_{\Gamma_p}(T_pM)$.

Condition (C1), combined with Sternberg's Theorem 3.1 and an easy adaptation of a theorem of Kopell [12, Theorem 6] from maps to vector fields, implies that if $p \in \mathbb{I}$ then the map

$$D(p) : \mathrm{Aut}(\nabla^g f|_{W^s_g(p)}) \to L_g(p) \tag{7}$$

sending any $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\nabla^g f|_{W^s_g(p)})$ to $D\phi(p) \in L_g(p)$ is an isomorphism (it is clear that any such ϕ fixes p); furthermore, there is a diffeomorphism $h(p) : T_pM \to W^s_g(p)$ making the following diagram commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_g(p) \times T_pM & \longrightarrow & T_pM \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle D(p)^{-1}\times h(p)} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h(p)} \\ \mathrm{Aut}(\nabla^g f|_{W^s_g(p)}) \times W^s_g(p) & \longrightarrow & W^s_g(p), \end{array} \tag{8}$$

where the horizontal arrows are the maps defining the actions.

Remark 4.1. *Strictly speaking, Sternberg's theorem gives a diffeomorphism between a neigborhood of* 0 *in* T_pM *and a neighborhood of* p *in* $W^s_g(p)$ *which commutes the flows of* $D\nabla^g f(p)$ *and of* $\nabla^g f$*, but such diffeomorphism can be extended uniquely imposing compatibility with the flows to yield* $h(p)$*.*

Similarly, for any source $p \in \mathbb{O}$ the analogous map $\mathrm{Aut}(\nabla^g pf|_{W^u_g(p)}) \to L_g(p)$ is an isomorphism and there is a diffeomorphism $T_pM \to W^u_g(p)$ which is equivariant in the obvious sense, analogous to the case of sinks.

Condition (C2) implies that for any $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\nabla^g f)$ and any $p \in \mathbb{E}$ we have $\phi(p) = \gamma p$ for some $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Of course a priori γ may depend on p, but in the course of proving Theorem 1.1 we will deduce that for g belonging to a residual subset of $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$ and any $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\nabla^g f)$, there exists some γ such that $\phi(p) = \gamma p$ for each $p \in \mathbb{E}$.

By Lemma 3.4 $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$. Moreover, combining (C3) with Lemma 3.4 and Theorem 3.5 (together with the obvious analogue of Remark 4.1) we deduce that the diffeomorphisms $h(p)$ mentioned above can be defined depending continuously on the metric g. More precisely:

Lemma 4.2. *Any* $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$ *has a neighborhood* $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$ *such that for any* $p \in \mathbb{E}$ *the following holds. Let* $V_p = T_pM$*. Endow the space of maps* $\mathrm{Map}(V_p, M)$ *with the weak (compact-open)* $\mathcal{C}^\infty$*-topology* [9, Chap 2, §1]*. For any* $g' \in \mathcal{U}$ *there is a linear vector field*

$$\mathcal{X}_{g'}(p) : V_p \to V_p$$

depending continuously on g' *and a* Γ_p*-equivariant embedding*

$$h_{g'}(p) : V_p \to M$$

depending also continuously on g' with the following properties.

(1) $Z(\mathcal{X}_{g'}(p)) = Z(\mathcal{X}_g(p)) = L_g(p)$ *for every* $g' \in \mathcal{U}$,
(2) $h_{g'}(p)$ *identifies* $\mathcal{X}_{g'}(p)$ *with the restriction of* $\nabla^{g'} f$ *to* $h_{g'}(p)(V_p)$*; hence,*

$$h_{g'}(p)(V_p) = W^s_g(p) \qquad \text{if } p \in \mathbb{I}$$

and

$$h_{g'}(p)(V_p) = W^u_g(p) \qquad \text{if } p \in \mathbb{O}.$$

Note that we do not claim that the derivative of $h_{g'}(p)$ at p is the identity: in fact in general this will not be the case (otherwise we could not pretend to have the identifications $Z(\mathcal{X}_{g'}(p)) = Z(\mathcal{X}_g(p))$).

4.2. **Codimension one (un)stable manifolds.** The results in the following lemma are well known. We recall them for completeness.

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a finite group acting smoothly on a connected manifold X.*

(1) *For any $x \in X$ there exists a G_x-invariant neighborhood $U \subset X$ of x, an open ball $B \subset T_xX$ centered at 0, and a G_x-equivariant diffeomorphism $\phi : U \to B$, where $G_x \subseteq G$ is the stabilizer of x and T_xX carries the induced linear action of G_x.*
(2) *For each subgroup $H \subseteq G$ the fixed point set $X^H = \{x \in X \mid H \subseteq G_x\}$ is a (possibly disconnected) closed smooth submanifold of X satisfying $T_x(X^H) = (T_xX)^H$ for every $x \in X^H$. In particular, either $X^H = X$ or X^H has empty interior.*
(3) *Assume that the action of G on X is effective. Then $X^{\text{free}} = \{x \in X \mid G_x = \{1\}\}$ is open and dense in X.*
(4) *If $\mathcal{X}$ is a G-invariant vector field on X then for any subgroup $H \subseteq G$ and any $x \in X^H$ we have $\mathcal{X}(x) \in T_xX^H$.*

Proof. For (1) the diffeomorphism ϕ can be taken to be the inverse of the exponential map at x with respect to any G-invariant Riemannian metric on X. (2) follows from (1) (for the second part of the statement use the fact that X is connected). To prove (3) write $X^{\text{free}} = X \setminus \bigcup_{g \in G \setminus \{1\}} X^{\{g^k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}}$ and use (2). Finally, (4) follows from (2). □

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the collection of all closed codimension 1 submanifolds $Y \subset M$ for which there exist some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that Y is a connected component of M^γ. Clearly $\mathcal{P}$ is finite.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ and suppose that $Y \subseteq M^\gamma$ for some $\gamma \neq 1$. Let $\langle\gamma\rangle \subseteq \Gamma$ be the subgroup generated by γ.*

(1) *We have $\gamma^2 = 1$.*
(2) *If $Y' \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Y \neq Y'$ then Y and Y' intersect transversely.*
(3) *The set $\{y \in Y \mid \Gamma_y = \langle\gamma\rangle\}$ is open and dense in Y.*
(4) *Let $y \in Y$ satisfy $\Gamma_y = \langle\gamma\rangle$. Let $\psi : M \to M$ be a diffeomorphism and suppose that there exist sequences of points $\{x_i\}$, $\{z_i\} \subset M \setminus Y$, both converging to y, with the property that $\psi(x_i) = \gamma' x_i$ and $\psi(z_i) = \gamma'' z_i$ for $\gamma', \gamma'' \in \Gamma$. Then $\gamma' = \gamma''$.*

Proof. To prove (1) suppose that $Y \in \mathcal{P}$, $Y \subset M^\gamma$ with $\gamma \neq 1$, and let $y \in Y$. By (1) in Lemma 4.3 the action of γ in T_yM fixes a hiperplane and this implies (since γ has

finite order) that the action of γ^2 on T_yM is trivial. By (1) in Lemma 4.3 we deduce that M^{γ^2} has nonempty interior and using (2) in Lemma 4.3 and the hypothesis that Γ acts effectively on M we conclude that $\gamma^2 = 1$.

To prove (2), suppose that $Y' \subset M^{\gamma'}$ with $\gamma' \neq 1$. If $Y \cap Y' = \emptyset$ then there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $Y \cap Y' \neq \emptyset$ and let $y \in Y \cap Y'$. The actions of γ, γ' on T_yM fix two hyperplanes, which can be identified with T_yY and T_yY'. If these hyperplanes are different then their intersection is transverse, and hence so is the intersection of Y and Y' at y. If the hyperplanes are the same, then $\gamma'\gamma^{-1}$ acts trivially on T_yM (here we use that γ, γ' belong to a finite group), so $M^{\gamma'\gamma^{-1}}$ has nonempty interior and hence $\gamma'\gamma^{-1} = 1$, so $\gamma = \gamma'$. Then $Y \cap Y' \neq \emptyset$ implies that $Y = Y'$, contradicting our hypothesis.

Let us now prove (3). Suppose that $\gamma' \in \Gamma \setminus \langle\gamma\rangle$ and let $\Delta \subseteq \Gamma$ be the subgroup generated by γ and γ'. We have $M^{\gamma'} \cap Y = M^{\Delta} \cap Y$. By (2) in Lemma 4.3 M^{Δ} is a submanifold of M. We claim that $M^{\Delta} \cap Y$ is closed and has empty interior in Y. Closedness is clear, so it suffices to prove that $M^{\Delta} \cap Y$ has empty interior in Y. Let Z be a connected component of M^{Δ}. If $\operatorname{codim}_M Z \geq 2$ then $Y \cap Z$ has empty interior in Y. If $\operatorname{codim}_M Z = 1$ then $Z \in \mathcal{P}$. By (2), either $Z = Y$ or Z and Y intersect transversely. In the second case, $Z \cap Y$ has empty interior in Y. If $Z = Y$ the same arguments as before lead to $\gamma = \gamma'$, contradicting our assumption. Hence the claim is proved. Since

$$\{y \in Y \mid \Gamma_y = \langle\gamma\rangle\} = Y \setminus \bigcup_{\gamma' \in \Gamma, \gamma' \notin \langle\gamma\rangle} M^{\gamma'} \cap Y,$$

we conclude that $\{y \in Y \mid \Gamma_y = \langle\gamma\rangle\}$ is open and dense in Y.

Finally we prove (4). Composing ψ with the diffeomorphism $M \to M$ given by the action of $(\gamma'')^{-1}$, we may suppose that $\gamma'' = 1$. Then the assumption that $\psi(z_i) = z_i$ and $z_i \to y$ implies that $\psi(y) = y$. The assumption that $\psi(x_i) = \gamma' x_i$ and $x_i \to y$ implies that $\gamma' y = \psi(y) = y$, and hence $\gamma' \in \langle\gamma\rangle$. If $\gamma' = 1$ then we are done. Suppose that $\gamma' = \gamma$, and let us see that this leads to a contradiction. Let $H = T_yY \subset T_yM$. Then H is a hyperplane in T_yM and it is preserved by the derivative $D\psi(y) \in \operatorname{End} T_yM$. Hence either $D\psi(y)$ preserves the connected components of $T_yM \setminus H$ or it exchanges them. From the hypothesis $z_i \to y$ and $\psi(z_i) = z_i$ it follows that $D\psi(y)$ preserves the connected components of $T_yM \setminus H$, and the hypothesis that $x_i \to y$ and $\psi(x_i) = \gamma x_i$ implies that $D\psi(y)$ exchanges the connected components of $T_yM \setminus H$. This is a contradiction, and the proof of (4) is complete. □

Let

$$P = \bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{P}} Y, \qquad M^* = M \setminus P.$$

We claim that M^* has finitely many arconnected components. Otherwise, we may take a sequence of points $x_1, x_2, \cdots \in M^*$ no two of which belong to the same arconnected component. Since M is compact, passing to a subsequence if necessary we may assume that $x_i \to x$ for some $x \in M$. By Lemma 4.3 there exists a neighborhood $U \subset M$ of x, an open ball $B \subset T_xM$ centered at 0, and a Γ_x-equivariant diffeomorphism $\phi : U \to B$. Then $\phi(U \cap P)$ is a union of finitely many hyperplanes in T_xM intersected with B, so $U \cap M^*$ contains finitely many arcconnected components, contradicting $x_i \to x$.

By (4) in Lemma 4.3, for any $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ and any $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ the gradient $\nabla^g f$ is tangent to Y. This implies that the flow of $\nabla^g f$ preserves P. Hence it also preserves each connected component of M^*.

For any $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ let

$$M_g^{\mathbb{E}} := \bigcup_{p \in \mathbb{I}} W_g^s(p) \cup \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{O}} W_g^u(q).$$

Note that $M_g^{\mathbb{E}}$ is an open subset of M.

The purpose of the following three sections is to define a dense and open subset $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1 \subset \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ with the property that for every $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$ and any arcconnected component $M_0 \subset M^*$ the intersection $M_0 \cap M_g^{\mathbb{E}}$ is arconnected. The set $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$ will be defined in Subsection 4.4, and its main property will be proved in Lemma 4.11.

4.3. **Controlling the connected components of $W_g^s(p) \cap M^*$ for $p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)$.** We first prove that for any critical point $p \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ and any $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ the intersection

$$W_g^s(p) \cap M^*$$

has finitely many arcconnected components. Fix some $p \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ and any $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$. Denote by $B_r(p) \subset M$ the open g-geodesic ball of radius r and center p. By the (un)stable manifold theorem (see e.g. [15, Theorem 6.2] for the statement for diffeomorphisms, and the remarks after the proof for the case of vector fields), for small enough $\epsilon > 0$ the intersection $W_g^s(p) \cap B_\epsilon(p)$ is an embedded smooth manifold, which is preserved by Γ_p. By Lemma 4.3, $W_g^s(p) \cap B_\epsilon(p) \cap M^*$ can be identified with the intersection of an open ball in $T_p W_g^s(p)$ centered at 0 with the complementary of a finite number of hyperplanes. Since the flow $\{\Phi_t^g\}$ preserves both M^* and $W_g^s(p)$, for any $q \in W_g^s(q) \cap M^*$ there is some t such that $\Phi_t^g(q) \in W_g^s(p) \cap B_\epsilon(p) \cap M^*$. Hence the number of arcconnected components of $W_g^s(p) \cap M^*$ is not bigger than the number of arcconnected components of $W_g^s(p) \cap B_\epsilon(p) \cap M^*$.

For the rest of this subsection we restrict attention to critical points of index $n-1$. The following is the main result of this subsection.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)$.*

1. *The number of connected components of $W_g^s(p) \cap M^*$ is independent of $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$.*
2. *Let $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ and let $W_1, \dots, W_k$ be the arconnected components of $W_g^s(p) \cap M^*$. Choose for every j a point $x_j \in W_j$. For ever $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a $\mathcal{C}^1$-neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ of g such that for every $g' \in \mathcal{U}$ and any connected component W' of $W_{g'}^s(p) \cap M^*$ there exists some j satisfying $d(x_j, W') < \epsilon$.*

In the proof of Lemma 4.5 we will need a result on linear algebra which we now explain. Let V be an n-dimensional real vector space, and let G be a finite group acting linearly on V. Let $Q \in (S^2V^*)^G$ be a G-invariant quadratic form on V equal to $-x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2$ in suitable coordinates. Let $\mathcal{H}$ be the collection of all codimension 1 vector subspaces $H \subset V$ for which there exists some $g \in G$ satisfying $H = \mathrm{Ker}(g - \mathrm{Id})$. Let $\mathcal{E}^G \subset (S^2V^*)^G$ be the space of G-invariant euclidean pairings on V. For any $e \in \mathcal{E}^G$ let $A_e \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be defined by $Q(u, v) = e(u, A_e v)$ for every $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Note that A_e is self adjoint with respect to e, so it diagonalizes. Let $H_e \subset V$ be the vector space spanned by the

eigenvectors of A_e of positive eigenvalue. Define $V^* = V \setminus \bigcup_{H\in\mathcal{H}} H$ and for any $e \in \mathcal{E}^G$ let

$$H_e^* = H_e \cap V^*.$$

Finally, denote by $\mathrm{Gr}(V)$ the Grassmannian of all vector subspaces of V.

Lemma 4.6. *At least one of the following is true:*

(1) *the hyperplane $H_e \subset V$ is independent of e;*
(2) *there exists a G-invariant subspace $V_0 \subset V$ and a G-equivariant projection*

$$\pi : V \to V_0$$

such that, denoting $V_0^* = V_0 \cap V^*$, we have $V^* = \pi^{-1}(V_0^*)$, $\pi(H_e^*) = V_0^*$, and the restriction of π to H_e^* is a fibration whose fibers can be identified with $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi \cap H_e$; finally, the map

$$\mathcal{E}^G \to \mathrm{Gr}(V), \qquad e \mapsto \mathrm{Ker}\,\pi \cap H_e$$

is continuous.

Proof. For any subspace $H \subset V$ let $H^\perp$ denote the perpendicular with respect to Q. Denote by $\widehat{G}$ the set of irreducible characters of G. Let $\widehat{G}_2 \subset \widehat{G}$ be the characters of irreducible representations that factor through a morphism $G \to \mathbb{Z}/2$ (i.e., the trivial representation and the non-trivial one dimensional representations). We may identify V as a G-representation with $\bigoplus_{\xi\in\widehat{G}} V_\xi \otimes E_\xi$ as in the proof of Lemma 3.4, with each E_ξ a vector space with trivial G-action. If $e \in \mathcal{E}^G$ then $H_e^\perp \subset V$ is one dimensional and G-invariant. Hence $H_e^\perp \subset V_\xi \otimes E_\xi$ for some $\xi \in \widehat{G}_2$. Let $\eta \in \widehat{G}$ be the unique character such that the restriction of Q to $V_\eta \otimes E_\eta$ is not positive definite. Then $H_e^\perp \in V_\eta \otimes E_\eta$ for every e.

Let us suppose that H_e is not constant. Then we must prove (2). The only way that H_e can vary with e is that $\dim E_\eta > 1$. Define $V_0 = \bigoplus_{\xi\in\widehat{G},\xi\neq\eta} V_\xi \otimes E_\xi$, and let $\pi : V = \bigoplus_{\xi\in\widehat{G}} V_\xi \otimes E_\xi \to V_0$ be the projection. Let $H \in \mathcal{H}$ be any element and let $g \in G$ satisfy $H = \mathrm{Ker}(g - \mathrm{Id})$. Since $\eta \in \widehat{G}_2$, the restriction of g to $V_\eta \otimes E_\eta$ is either trivial or multiplication by -1. In the first case it follows that $V_\eta \otimes E_\eta \subset H$. In the second case we would have $\dim \mathrm{Ker}(g - \mathrm{Id}) < n - 1$, so $\mathrm{Ker}(g - \mathrm{Id}) \notin \mathcal{H}$, a contradiction. So

$$V_\eta \otimes E_\eta \subset H \quad \text{for every } H \in \mathcal{H}. \tag{9}$$

This implies that $V^* = \pi^{-1}(V_0^*)$. Since $H_e^\perp \in V_\eta \otimes E_\eta$ for every e, we have $\pi(H_e) = V_0$, and this implies, using again (9), that $\pi(H_e^*) = V_0^*$ and that the fibers of $\pi|_{H_e^*}$ can be identified with $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi \cap H_e$. Finally, it also implies that $\dim \mathrm{Ker}\,\pi \cap H_e = \dim \mathrm{Ker}\,\pi - 1$, from which it follows immediately that the map $\mathcal{E}^G \to \mathrm{Gr}(V)$ sending e to $\mathrm{Ker}\,\pi \cap H_e$ is continuous. □

Lemma 4.6 immediately implies the following linear analogue of Lemma 4.5:

Corollary 4.7. (1) *The number of connected components of H_e^* is independent of $e \in \mathcal{E}^G$.*
(2) *Let $e \in \mathcal{E}^G$, let $H_1, \dots, H_s$ be the arconnected components of H_e^* and choose for every j a point $y_j \in H_j$. For ever $\delta > 0$ there exists a neighborhood $U \subset \mathcal{E}^G$ of e such that for every $e' \in U$ and any connected component H' of $H_{e'}^*$, there exists some j satisfying $d(y_j, H') < \delta$, where d is the distance in V defined by e.*

We now show how to deduce Lemma 4.5 from Corollary 4.7. Let $p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)$, let $V = T_pM$ and let $G = \Gamma_p$. Consider the induced action of G on V, and let $\mathcal{H}$ be the collection of hyperplanes of V defined as before. By (2) in Lemma 4.3, the hyperplanes in $\mathcal{H}$ correspond to the tangent spaces at p of the subvarieties in $\mathcal{P}$. Consider also $V^* \subset V$, the complementary of the union of the elements in $\mathcal{H}$.

Let Q be the quadratic form on V corresponding to the Hessian $-\nabla df(p)$. Given $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ let $e(g) \in \mathcal{E}^\Gamma$ be the Euclidean pairing on V given by g. By (1) in [15, Theorem 6.2] the tangent space $T_pW_g^s(p) \subset T_pM$ can be identified with $H_{e(g)} \subset V$. Let also

$$\phi_g : H_{e(g)} \to W_g^s(p)$$

be the exponential map with respect to $g|_{W_g^s(p)}$.

Fix once and for the rest of the proof a metric $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$. For any $r > 0$ let $B_r \subset V$ denote the open ball of radius r, with respect to $e(g)$, centered at 0. Let $\rho > 0$ be chosen small enough so that

$$\phi_g : B_{2\rho} \cap H_{e(g)} \to W_g^s(p)$$

is an embedding. One may take a $\mathcal{C}^1$-neighborhood of g, $\mathcal{U}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$, such that for any $g' \in \mathcal{U}_0$ the map

$$\phi_{g'} : B_\rho \cap H_{e(g')} \to W_{g'}^s(p)$$

is an embedding. There is also a $\mathcal{C}^1$-neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_1 \subset \mathcal{U}_0$ of g such that for any $g' \in \mathcal{U}_1$ and any $q \in \phi_g(B_\rho \cap H_{e(g)}) \subset W_g^s(p)$ and $q' \in \phi_{g'}(B_\rho \cap H_{e(g')}) \subset W_{g'}^s(p)$ we have

$$d_M(q, q') \leq 2d_V(\phi_g^{-1}(q), \phi_{g'}^{-1}(q')), \tag{10}$$

where d_M is the geodesic distance in M with respect to g and d_V is the distance in V with respect to the Euclidean metric $e(g)$.

Combining the following lemma with Corollary 4.7 we immediately deduce (1) in Lemma 4.5.

Lemma 4.8. *For any $g' \in \mathcal{U}_0$ the map $\phi_{g'}$ induces by restriction an embedding*

$$B_\rho \cap H_{e(g')}^* \to W_{g'}^s(p) \cap M^*$$

giving a bijection between arconnected components.

Proof. This follows from these facts: (1) the map $\phi_{g'}$ is G-equivariant, which implies that $\phi_{g'}$ sends $B_\rho \cap H_{e(g')}^*$ inside $W_{g'}^s(p) \cap M^*$; (2) for any $x \in W_{g'}^s(p)$ there is some $T > 0$ such that the map $[0, T] \ni t \mapsto \Phi_t^{g'}(x)$ is a path in $W_{g'}^s(p)$ going from x to some point in $\phi_{g'}(B_\rho \cap H_{e(g')})$, which implies that the map gives a bijection of arcconnected components. □

Now let $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in W_g^s(p) \cap M^*$ be points as in the statement of Lemma 4.5. For each j choose some $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$z_j := \Phi_{t_j}^g(x_j) \in \phi_g(B_{\rho/2} \cap H_{e(g)}).$$

Take any $\epsilon > 0$ and let $\epsilon' > 0$ be chosen in such a way that for each j we have

$$B_{\epsilon'}(z_j) \cap W_g^s(p) \subset \phi_g(B_\rho \cap H_{e(g)}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \Phi_{-t_j}^g(B_{\epsilon'}(z_j)) \subset B_{\epsilon/2}(x_j).$$

Here, as earlier, given $x \in M$ and $r > 0$ we denote by $B_r(x) \subset M$ the g-geodesic open ball of radius r centered at x. There exists a $\mathcal{C}^1$-neighborhood $\mathcal{U}_2 \subset \mathcal{U}_1$ of g such that for every $g' \in \mathcal{U}_2$ and any j we have

$$\Phi^{g'}_{-t_j}(B_{\epsilon'}(z_j)) \subset B_\epsilon(x_j). \tag{11}$$

Let $\delta = \epsilon'/2$ and let $y_j = \phi_g^{-1}(z_j)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, k$. By Lemma 4.8 each connected component of $H^*_{e(g)}$ contains one and only one of the points $y_1, \ldots, y_k$. By Corollary 4.7 there exists some neighborhood $U \subset \mathcal{E}^G$ of $e(g)$ such that for every $e' \in U$ and any connected component H' of $H^*_{e'}$ there exists some j satisfying $d_V(y_j, H') < \delta$.

There is a $\mathcal{C}^1$-neighborhood $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{U}_2$ of g with the property that for any $g' \in \mathcal{U}$ we have $e(g') \in U$. We claim that $\mathcal{U}$ has the property stated by Lemma 4.5. Indeed, if $g' \in \mathcal{U}$ then there exist points $y'_1, \ldots, y'_k \in H_{e(g')}$ such that $d_V(y_j, y'_j) < \delta$ and each connected component of $H^*_{e(g')}$ contains at least one of the points y'_j. Let now

$$x'_j = \Phi^{g'}_{-t_j}(\phi_{g'}(y'_j)).$$

We claim: (i) each connected component of $W^s_{g'}(p) \cap M^*$ contains at least one of the points $x'_1, \ldots, x'_k$, and (ii) for every j we have $d_M(x'_j, x_j) < \epsilon$. Statement (i) follows from the analogous statement for $H^*_{e(g')}$. To prove (ii) it suffices to check that

$$d_M(\phi_{g'}(y'_j), z_j) < \epsilon',$$

because $g \in \mathcal{U}_2$. Now, since $z_j = \phi_g(y_j)$, we have (using (10) and $g \in \mathcal{U}_1$)

$$d_M(\phi_{g'}(y'_j), z_j) = d_M(\phi_{g'}(y'_j), \phi_g(y_j)) \leq 2d_V(z'_j, z_j) < 2\delta = \epsilon'.$$

By (11) it follows that

$$d_M(x'_j, x_j) = d(\Phi^{g'}_{-t_j}(y'_j), x_j) < \epsilon,$$

so the proof of Lemma 4.5 is now complete.

4.4. **The space of metrics $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$.** Define $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$ to be the set of metrics $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ such that for any $p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)$ and any arconnected component W of $W^s_g(p) \cap M^*$ we have

$$W \nsubseteq \bigcup_{p' \in \mathrm{Crit}_1(f)} W^u_g(p').$$

Lemma 4.9. *$\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$.*

Proof. Openness of $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$ follows from Lemma 4.5. Let us prove that $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$ is dense. We are going to use the following result.

Lemma 4.10. *Suppose that $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$, $x \in M \setminus \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ and $y = \Phi^g_t(x)$ for some nonzero t. Assume that the stabilizer Γ_x is trivial. Given any $v \in T_xM$ and any Γ-invariant open subset $U \subset M$ containing $\Phi^g_{t'}(x)$ for some $t' \in (0, t)$, there exists some $g' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, S^2T^*M)^\Gamma$ supported in U such that*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} \Phi^{g+\epsilon g'}_{-t}(y)\Big|_{\epsilon=0} = v.$$

To avoid breaking the flow we postpone the proof of Lemma 4.10 to Subsection A.1.

Choose any $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma \setminus \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the set of pairs (p, W) where $p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)$ and W is an arcconnected component of $W^s_g(p) \cap M^*$ entirely contained in $\bigcup_{p' \in \mathrm{Crit}_1(f)} W^u_g(p')$. Since g does not belong to $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$, $\mathcal{Q}$ is nonempty.

Take any $(p, W) \in \mathcal{Q}$. We first prove that there exists some $x \in W \setminus \{p\}$ with Γ_x trivial. Choose any $x \in W$. Since $p = \lim_{t\to\infty} \Phi^g_t(x)$, we have $\Gamma_x \subseteq \Gamma_p$. It thus suffices to prove that the action of Γ_p on $W^s_g(p)$ is effective because in that case, since Γ_p is finite and $W^s_g(p)$ is connected, the set of points of $W^s_g(p)$ with trivial Γ_p-stabilizer is open and dense by Lemma 4.3, so in particular it contains elements of W. Now, if some nontrivial $\gamma \in \Gamma_p$ acts trivially on $W^s_g(p)$ then $W^s_g(p) \subset P = M \setminus M^*$ (see (1) in Lemma 4.4), in contradiction with our assumption that $W^s_g(p) \cap M^*$ is nonempty. Consequently, Γ_p acts effectively on $W^s_g(p)$.

Choose for every $(p, W) \in \mathcal{Q}$ a point in $W \setminus \{p\}$ with trivial stabilizer, and denote by $x_1, \dots, x_r$ the resulting collection of points. Suppose that $x_j \in W^s_g(p_j)$. Choose for every j a tangent vector $v_j \in T_{x_j}M$ not contained in $T_{x_j}W^s_g(p_j)$. Let $y_j = \Phi^g_1(x_j)$. Since $x_j \in W^s_g(p_j)$ and $x_j \neq p_j$, x_j is not a critical point of f, so $f(x_j) < f(y_j)$. Choose real numbers a, b such that $f(x_j) < a < b < f(y_j)$ and such that $f(\mathrm{Crit}(f)) \cap [a, b] = \emptyset$. Let $U_j = f^{-1}((a, b))$. Clearly U_j is open and Γ-invariant. By Lemma 4.10 there exists some $g'_j \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, S^2T^*M)^\Gamma$ supported in U_j such that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Phi^{g+\epsilon g'_j}_{-1}(y_j)\bigg|_{\epsilon=0} = v_j. \tag{12}$$

Choose $\eta > 0$ small enough so that for any $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_r)$ in the open ball $B_\eta \subset \mathbb{R}^r$ centered at 0 of radius η the tensor $g_\epsilon = g + \sum \epsilon_j g'_j$ is a Riemannian metric. For every $p \in \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ define the following subsets of $M \times B_\eta$

$$\mathbb{W}^s(p) = \bigcup_{\epsilon\in B_\eta} W^s_{g_\epsilon}(p) \times \{\epsilon\}, \qquad \mathbb{W}^u(p) = \bigcup_{\epsilon\in B_\eta} W^u_{g_\epsilon}(p) \times \{\epsilon\}.$$

Since the perturbations $g'_1, \dots, g'_r$ are supported away from the critical set $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$, one can prove easily that $\mathbb{W}^s(p)$ and $\mathbb{W}^u(p)$ are smooth submanifolds of $M \times B_\eta$ (the same can be proved without the assumption on the support of the tensors g'_j, but one needs for that to use results on stable sets of normally hyperbolic vector fields, as in [10]). We claim that for every j, letting $q \in \mathrm{Crit}_1(f)$ be the critical point such that $x_j \in W^u_g(q)$, we have

$$T_{(x_j,0)}\mathbb{W}^s(p_j) + T_{(x_j,0)}\mathbb{W}^u(q) = T_{x_j}M \oplus T_0B_\eta. \tag{13}$$

In other words, $\mathbb{W}^s(p_j)$ and $\mathbb{W}^u(q)$ intersect transversely at $(x_j, 0)$. To prove (13) note that both summands in the LHS have codimension 1 in $T_{x_j}M \oplus T_0B_\eta$, so it suffices to check that the two summands are different. Now, if $\partial_j \in T_0B_\eta$ is the tangent vector corresponding to the derivative with respect to the j-th variable, and we let

$$\pi_s : T_{(x_j,0)}\mathbb{W}^s(p_j) \to T_0B_\eta, \qquad \pi_u : T_{(x_j,0)}\mathbb{W}^u(q) \to T_0B_\eta$$

denote the projections, we have $v_j \in \pi_s^{-1}(\partial_j)$ by (12), whereas $\pi_u^{-1}(\partial_j) = T_{x_j}W^u_g(q) = T_{x_j}W^s_g(p_j)$ because the gradient line of f w.r.t. the metric g connecting x_j to q is disjoint from the support of g'_j. Since $v_j \notin T_{x_j}W^s_g(p_j)$, it follows that $T_{(x_j,0)}\mathbb{W}^s(p_j) \neq T_{(x_j,0)}\mathbb{W}^u(q)$, so (13) is proved.

By Sard's theorem, there is a residual subset $B_\eta^{\mathrm{reg}} \subset B_\eta$ such that for every $\epsilon \in B_\eta^{\mathrm{reg}}$ the intersection $W^s_{g_\epsilon}(p_j) \cap W^u_{g_\epsilon}(q)$ has dimension $\dim M - 2$ in a neighborhood of x_j.

Let $\mathcal{Q}'$ be the set of pairs (p, W) where $p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)$ and W is an arcconnected component of $W^s_g(p) \cap M^*$ which is not entirely contained in $\bigcup_{p' \in \mathrm{Crit}_1(f)} W^u_g(p')$. Choose for every $(p, W) \in \mathcal{Q}'$ a point in $x_{p,W} \in W \setminus \bigcup_{p' \in \mathrm{Crit}_1(f)} W^u_g(p')$. Choose some $\epsilon' > 0$ such that

$$B_{\epsilon'}(x_{p,W}) \cap \bigcup_{p' \in \mathrm{Crit}_1(f)} W^u_g(p') = \emptyset$$

for every $(p, W) \in \mathcal{Q}'$. By Lemma 4.5 there exists some $\eta' \in (0, \eta)$ such that for any $\epsilon \in B_{\eta'}$ and any $(p, W) \in \mathcal{Q}'$ the unique connected component W_ϵ of $W^s_{g_\epsilon}(p) \cap M^*$ which intersects W nontrivially satisfies $W_\epsilon \cap B_{\epsilon'}(x_{p,W}) \neq \emptyset$, so in particular $W_\epsilon \nsubseteq \bigcup_{p' \in \mathrm{Crit}_1(f)} W^u_g(p')$.

It follows that for any $\epsilon \in B_\eta^{\mathrm{reg}} \cap B_{\eta'}$ we have $g_\epsilon \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$ (note that here we need to use (1) in Lemma 4.5, to be sure that we are taking into account all connected components of $W^s_{g_\epsilon}(p) \cap M^*$ as p runs along $\mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)$), so the proof of Lemma 4.9 is complete. □

4.5. **Connectedness property for metrics in $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$.** The next lemma explains why we took the pain to define the set $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$. See Subsection 4.2 for the definition of $M_g^{\mathbb{E}}$.

Lemma 4.11. *If $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$ then for any arcconnected component $M_0 \subset M^*$ the intersection $M_0 \cap M_g^{\mathbb{E}}$ is arconnected.*

Proof. Choose a connected component $M_0 \subset M^*$. Define

$$R := \bigcup_{k \geq 2} \bigcup_{p \in \mathrm{Crit}_k(f)} W^u_g(p) \cup \bigcup_{k \leq n-2} \bigcup_{p \in \mathrm{Crit}_k(f)} W^s_g(p).$$

Since R is a finite union of submanifolds of codimension ≥ 2, the inclusion

$$M_0 \cap M_g^{\mathbb{E}} \cap (M \setminus R) \hookrightarrow M_0 \cap M_g^{\mathbb{E}}$$

induces a bijection of arconnected components. Hence, to prove that $M_0 \cap M_g^{\mathbb{E}}$ is arconnected it suffices to prove that for any $a, b \in M_0 \cap M_g^{\mathbb{E}} \cap (M \setminus R)$ there is a path going from a to b and staying in $M_0 \cap M_g^{\mathbb{E}}$. Let us take to begin with a smooth path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to M_0$ from a to b. We may assume that γ intersects transversely the submanifolds $\{W^s_g(p) \mid p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)\}$ and also $\{W^u_g(p) \mid p \in \mathrm{Crit}_1(f)\}$. We may also assume that $\gamma([0, 1])$ is disjoint from R. We are going to see how to modify γ, preserving the initial and final points, so that the entire path stays in $M_0 \cap M_g^{\mathbb{E}}$.

Suppose that for some $t \in (0, 1)$ and $p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)$ we have $\gamma(t) \in W^s_g(p)$. By assumption there is a path $\rho : [0, 1] \to W^s_g(p)$ such that $\rho(0) = \gamma(t)$ and $\rho(1) \notin \bigcup_{p' \in \mathrm{Crit}_1(f)} W^u_g(p')$. We may assume that ρ is an embedding, and also that $\rho(1) \notin R$.

There exists a neighborhood $U \subset M_0$ of $\rho([0, 1])$ such that $U \cap W^s_g(p') = \emptyset$ for every $p' \in \mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)$ distinct from p. The set U can be chosen in such a way that there is a diffeomorphism $\phi : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\phi(\rho(\tau)) = (\tau, 0, \ldots, 0)$ and $\phi(U \cap W^s_g(p)) \subset \{x_n = 0\}$ (here $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ are the coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n$). Finally, we may (and do) assume that $\phi(U)$ is convex.

Choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough so that $[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon] \subset (0,1)$, $\gamma([t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]) \subset U$, and $\gamma^{-1}(W^s_g(p)) \cap [t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon] = \{t\}$. Now replace γ by the path $[0,1] \to M_0$ which coincides with γ away from $[t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]$, and which maps $t+s \in [t-\epsilon, t+\epsilon]$ to

$$\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\epsilon+s}{\epsilon}(1,0,\dots,0) + \left(1-\frac{\epsilon+s}{\epsilon}\right)\phi(\gamma(t-\epsilon))\right) \qquad \text{if } s \leq 0$$

and

$$\phi^{-1}\left(\frac{\epsilon-s}{\epsilon}(1,0,\dots,0) + \left(1-\frac{\epsilon-s}{\epsilon}\right)\phi(\gamma(t+\epsilon))\right) \qquad \text{if } s \geq 0.$$

After repeating this operation for all $t \in [0,1]$ satisfying $\gamma(t) \in \bigcup_{p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)} W^s_g(p)$, we end up with a smooth path from a to b, still denoted by $\gamma : [0,1] \to M_0$, with the following property. Denote $T = \{0,1\} \cup \{t \mid \gamma(t) \in W^s_g(p) \text{ for some } p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)\}$. Then, for any $t \in T$ we have

$$\gamma(t) \notin R \cup \bigcup_{q \in \mathrm{Crit}_1(f)} W^u_g(q).$$

This implies that $\gamma(t)$ belongs to $W^u_g(q)$ for some source $q \in \mathbb{O}$. Let γ_1 be a small perturbation of γ supported away from T, close enough to γ so that

$$\{0,1\} \cup \{t \mid \gamma_1(t) \in W^s_g(p) \text{ for some } p \in \mathrm{Crit}_{n-1}(f)\} = T,$$

and such that $\gamma_1([0,1]) \cap R = \emptyset$. Then γ_1 is a path from a to b satisfying $\gamma_1([0,1]) \subset M_0 \cap M^{\mathbb{E}}_g$. □

5. The spheres $S_g(p)$, the distributions $\mathcal{A}_g(p)$, and the sets $F_g(p)$

Recall that we assume $\dim M > 1$.

5.1. **The spheres $S_g(p)$.** For any $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}$ and any sink $p \in \mathbb{I}$ we denote by $\sim$ the equivalence relation in $W^s_g(p)$ that identifies two points whenever they belong to the same integral curve of $\nabla^g f$. We then define

$$S_g(p) = (W^s_g(p) \setminus \{p\})/\sim .$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a (small) real number and let $\Sigma \subset M$ be the g-geodesic sphere radius $\epsilon > 0$ and center p. If ϵ is small enough, then every equivalence class in $S_g(p)$ contains a unique representative in Σ, so composing the inclusion $\Sigma \hookrightarrow W^s_g(p) \setminus \{p\}$ with the projection

$$\pi_p : W^s_g(p) \setminus \{p\} \to S_g(p)$$

gives a bijection $\Sigma \simeq S_g(q)$. This allows us to transport the smooth structure on Σ to a smooth structure (in particular, a topology) on $S_g(p)$. The resulting smooth structure on $S_g(p)$ is of course independent of the choice of ϵ.

If $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$ then the action of $L_g(p)$ on $S_g(p)$ defined via the identification (7) is smooth, and so is the natural action of Γ_p on $S_g(p)$ (recall that $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^{\Gamma}$).

For any $p \in \mathbb{I}$ and $q \in \mathbb{O}$ let

$$\Omega_g(p,q) := \pi_p(W^s_g(p) \cap W^u_g(q)) \subset S_g(p).$$

Since $W^u_g(q)$ is open in M, $\Omega_g(p,q)$ is open in $S_g(p)$.

Similarly, if q is a source we define

$$S_g(q) = (W_g^u(q) \setminus \{q\})/\sim$$

and we denote by

$$\pi_q : W_g^u(q) \setminus \{q\} \to S_g(q)$$

the projection. If p is a sink, then we define

$$\Omega_g(q,p) = \pi_q(W_g^s(p) \cap W_g^u(q)),$$

which is an open subset of $S_g(q)$.

For convenience, if $p, q \in \mathbb{I}$ or $p, q \in \mathbb{O}$ we define $\Omega_g(p,q) = \emptyset$.

Since the fibers of the restrictions of π_p and π_q on $W_g^s(p) \cap W_g^u(q)$ are the same, there are natural bijections

$$\sigma_g^{p,q} : \Omega_g(p,q) \to \Omega_g(q,p), \qquad \sigma_g^{q,p} = (\sigma_g^{p,q})^{-1}.$$

These bijections are clearly compatible with the smooth structures, so they are actually diffeomorphisms.

5.2. **The singular distributions $\mathcal{A}_g(q)$.** We assume through the remainder of this section that $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$. We are going to consider, for every $p \in \mathbb{E}$, the diagonal action of $L_g(p)$ on $S_g(p)^k$ for some natural number k. If $x = (x_1, \dots, x_k) \in S_g(p)^k$ and $\psi \in L_g(p)$ we denote

$$\psi x = (\psi x_1, \dots, \psi x_k).$$

Similarly, we will consider the diagonal extension of the maps $\sigma_g^{p,q}$:

$$\sigma_g^{p,q} : \Omega_g(p,q)^k \to \Omega_g(q,p)^k, \qquad \sigma_g^{p,q} x = (\sigma_g^{p,q} x_1, \dots, \sigma_g^{p,q} x_k).$$

We are going to use below without explicit notice analogous diagonal extensions of maps to Cartesian products.

Let $n = \dim M$. Define

$$r := \left[\frac{2n^2}{n-1} + 1 \right]. \tag{14}$$

The choice of this number will be justified in the proof of Lemma 6.2.

For any $q \in \mathbb{E}$ we denote by $\mathcal{A}_g(q) \subset T(S_g(q)^r)$ the subspace consisting of all tangent vectors given by the infinitesimal action of the Lie algebra of $L_g(q)$. This gives, for any $x \in S_g(q)^r$, a linear subspace

$$\mathcal{A}_g(q)(x) \subset T_x S_g(q)^r$$

whose dimension may vary with x (hence, one can think of $\mathcal{A}_g(q)$ as a singular distribution). In concrete terms,

$$\mathcal{A}_g(q)(x) = \{\mathcal{Y}_{g,\sigma}(x) \mid \sigma \in \operatorname{Lie} L_g(q)\},$$

where for any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Lie} L_g(q)$ we denote by $\mathcal{Y}_{g,\sigma}$ the vector field on $S_g(q)^r$ given by the infinitesimal action of σ.

5.3. **The subset $F_g(q) \subset S_g(q)^r$.** We next want to identify a dense open subset of $S_g(q)^r$ on which the action of $L_g(q)$ has the smallest possible isotropy subgroup, and on which $\mathcal{A}_g(q)$ restricts to a vector subbundle of $T(S_g(q)^r)$. We remark that, since $L_g(q)$ is an infinite group, in this situation we can not use (3) in Lemma 4.3. Let

$$\mathfrak{X}_g(q) := D\nabla^g f(p) \in \operatorname{Lie} L_g(q).$$

Note that $e^{t\mathfrak{X}_g(q)}$ corresponds, via the isomorphism $D(q)$ in (7), to the flow Φ_t^g, so $e^{t\mathfrak{X}_g(p)}$ acts trivially on $S_g(q)$ and hence on $S_g(q)^r$.

Let us denote $V = T_qM$. Then $X := \mathfrak{X}_g(q)$ is a diagonalizable endomorphism of V. Denote its eigenvalues by $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$. Let $V_j \subseteq V$ be the subspace consisting of eigenvectors with eigenvalue λ_j. We have a decomposition $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_k$ with respect to which we may define projections $\pi_j : V \to V_j$. Let us say that a collection of vectors $w_1, \dots, w_s \in V_j$ is thick if $s > d = \dim V_j$ and for any $1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_d \le s$ the vectors $w_{i_1}, \dots, w_{i_d}$ are linearly independent. Finally, we say that a collection of vectors $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$ is thick if for any j the projections $\pi_j(v_1), \dots, \pi_j(v_s)$ form a thick collection of vectors in V_j. Let $G = L_g(q)$.

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that $v_1, \dots, v_s$ is a thick collection of vectors, and that for some $g \in G$ there exist real numbers $t_1, \dots, t_s$ satisfying $gv_j = e^{t_j X} v_j$ for every j. Then $g = e^{tX}$ for some real number t.*

Proof. Consider first the case $k = 1$, so that X is a homothecy. Write $v_{n+1} = a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_n v_n$. The thickness condition implies that $a_i \neq 0$ for every i. By assumption we have $gv_i = \lambda_i v_i$ for some real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s$. In particular,

$$\lambda_{n+1}(a_1 v_1 + \cdots + a_n v_n) = \lambda_1 a_1 v_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n a_n v_n.$$

Taking into account that $v_1, \dots, v_n$ is a basis and equating coefficients we deduce that $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_1 = \cdots = \lambda_n$. So the case $k = 1$ is proved. The case $k > 1$ follows from applying the previous arguments to each of the blocks V_j, using the fact that every $g \in G$ preserves each of the factors V_j. □

Let $S(V)$ denote the set of orbits of $H = \{e^{tX} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ acting on $V \setminus \{0\}$. The action of G on V induces an action of G/H on $S(V)$. Let $F \subset S(V)^r$ denote the set of tuples $(x_1, \dots, x_r)$ such that, writing $x_i = Hx_i'$ with $x_i' \in V$ for each i, the vectors $x_1', \dots, x_r'$ form a thick collection (this condition is clearly independent of the choice of representatives x_i').

Lemma 5.2. (1) *F is a dense an open subset of $S(V)^r$;*
(2) *the restricted action of G/H on F is free.*

Proof. For (1) note that $r > n$, so the set F' of thick r-tuples in V^r can be identified with the complementary of finitely many proper subvarieties (those corresponding to the possible linear relations among projections to each summand V_j of subsets of the tuple, given by the vanishing of suitable determinants). Hence F' is a dense open subset of V^r, which implies that $F \subset S(V)^r$ is open and dense. (2) follows from Lemma 5.1. □

Assume that q is a sink. Choose a diffeomorphism $h : V \to W_g^s(q)$ making commutative the diagram (8) with p replaced by q. Then h induces a diffeomorphism $S(V) \to S_g(q)$,

which can be extended linearly to $S(V)^r \to S_g(q)^r$. Let

$$F_g(q) \subset S_g(q)^r$$

be the image of F under the previous diffeomorphism. The set $F_g(q)$ is independent of the choice of h. Indeed, two different choices of h differ by precomposition with an element of G, and the action of G on $S(V)^r$ preserves F. If instead q is a source, consider the same definition with $W^s_g(q)$ replaced by $W^u_g(q)$.

Lemma 5.2 and an obvious estimate imply:

Lemma 5.3. (1) *If $x \in F_g(q)$ and $\psi \in L_g(q)$ satisfies $\psi x = x$ then $\psi = e^{t\mathcal{X}_g(q)}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$.*
(2) *The restriction of $\mathcal{A}_g(q)$ to F is a vector bundle of rank $\dim G - 1 \leq n^2 - 1$.*

6. The space of metrics $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}$

We recall again that $\dim M > 1$.

6.1. **Definition of $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}$.** Let $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$. Let $p \in \mathbb{E}$ and let $K > 0$ be a real number. Denote by $\|\cdot\|_g$ the operator norm in $\operatorname{End} T_pM$ induced by g. Denote by

$$L_{g,K}(p) \subset L_g(p)$$

the compact subset consisting of those $\psi \in L_g(p)$ such that $\|\psi\|_g \leq K$, $\|\psi^{-1}\|_g \leq K$, and such that

$$\|\psi - e^{t\mathcal{X}_g(p)}\gamma\|_g \geq K^{-1} \text{ for every } t \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma_p.$$

Recall that the number r has been defined in (14). For any $\psi \in L_g(p)$ we denote by

$$\alpha_\psi : S_g(q)^r \to S_g(q)^r$$

the map given by the action of ψ.

For any $p \in \mathbb{E}$ we define $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}(p)$ as the set of all metrics $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$ such that for any $\psi \in L_{g,K}(p)$ there exist:

(1) $q, q' \in \mathbb{E}$ and $x \in \Omega_g(p,q)^r$ satisfying:

$$\psi x \in \Omega_g(p,q')^r, \qquad \sigma_g^{p,q} x \in F_g(q), \qquad \sigma_g^{p,q'} \psi x \in F_g(q'),$$

(2) and a vector $v \in \mathcal{A}_g(q)(\sigma_g^{p,q}x)$

such that

$$D(\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_\psi \circ \sigma_g^{q,p})(v) \notin \mathcal{A}_g(q')(\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_\psi(x)). \tag{15}$$

Here $D(\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_\psi \circ \sigma_g^{q,p})$ denotes the map between tangent spaces given by the differential of

$$\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_\psi \circ \sigma_g^{q,p} : \sigma_g^{p,q}(\alpha_\psi^{-1}(\Omega_g(p,q')^r)) \to \Omega_g(q',p)^r,$$

and $\sigma_g^{p,q}(\alpha_\psi^{-1}(\Omega_g(p,q')^r))$ is an open subset of $\Omega_g(q,p)$ containing $\sigma_g^{p,q}x$.

Define finally:

$$\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K} = \bigcap_{p \in \mathbb{E}} \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}(p).$$

6.2. $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}$ is open and dense in $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$.

Lemma 6.1. *$\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}(p)$ is an open subset of $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$.*

Proof. The only nontrivial ingredient that we are going to use is Lemma 4.2. Keeping this in mind, the reader might find it easier to prove the present lemma by him/herself than to read the detailed proof that follows, which is included for completeness.

We argue by contradiction. Let $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}(p)$ and assume that there is sequence $(g_i) \subset \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$ such that $g_i \to g$ but $g_i \notin \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}(p)$ for each i.

Let $V = T_pM$ and let $\mathcal{X} = D\nabla^g f(p)$, which we view as an endomorphism of V. By Lemma 4.2 there exist, for big enough i, linear vector fields $\mathcal{X}_i = \mathcal{X}_{g_i}(p) : V \to V$ and Γ_p-equivariant embeddings

$$h_i = h_{g_i}(p) : V \to M$$

with the property that $Z(\mathcal{X}_i) = Z(\mathcal{X})$ for every i, and h_i identifies $\mathcal{X}_i$ with $\nabla^{g_i} f$ restricted to $h_i(V)$. Furthermore, $\mathcal{X}_i \to \mathcal{X}$ in $\operatorname{End} V$ and $h_i \to h$ in the $\mathcal{C}^1$ topology on the space of maps $V \to M$, where h is a Γ_p-equivariant embedding identifying $\mathcal{X}$ with $\nabla^g f$ restricted to $h(V)$.

Since by assumption $g_i \notin \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}(p)$, there exists some $\psi_i \in L_{g_i,K}(p)$ such that for every $q, q' \in \mathbb{E}$ and every $x \in \Omega_{g_i}(p,q)^r$ satisfying $\psi_i x \in \Omega_{g_i}(p,q')^r$ and $\sigma_{g_i}^{p,q} x \in F_{g_i}(q)$ and $\sigma_{g_i}^{p,q'} \psi x \in F_{g_i}(q')$ we have

$$D(\sigma_{g_i}^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_{\psi_i} \circ \sigma_{g_i}^{q,p})(\mathcal{A}_{g_i}(q)(\sigma_{g_i}^{p,q} x)) \subset \mathcal{A}_{g_i}(q')((\sigma_{g_i}^{p,q} \circ \alpha_{\psi_i})(x)).$$

Since we have $L_g(p) = Z(\mathcal{X}) = Z(\mathcal{X}_i) = L_{g_i}(p)$, we may view each ψ_i as an element of $L_{g,K_i}(p)$ for real numbers K_i satisfying $K_i \to K$. So, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that there exists some $\psi \in L_{g,K}$ such that $\psi_i \to \psi$.

At this point we use the fact that g belongs to $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}(p)$ to conclude that there exists some $q, q' \in \mathbb{E}$ and $x \in \Omega_g(p,q)^r$ satisfying $\psi x \in \Omega_g(p,q')^r$, $\sigma_g^{p,q} x \in F_g(q)$ and $\sigma_g^{p,q'} \psi x \in F_g(q')$, and a vector $v \in \mathcal{A}_g(q)(\sigma_g^{p,q} x)$ such that

$$D(\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_\psi \circ \sigma_g^{q,p})(v) \notin \mathcal{A}_g(q')(\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_\psi(x)). \tag{16}$$

The maps h_i, h can be used to identify the spheres $S_{g_i}(p)$ and $S_g(p)$ as follows. Suppose that p is a sink. Then $h_i(V) = W^s_{g_i}(p)$ and $h(V) = W^s_g(p)$, so

$$h_i h^{-1} : W^s_g(p) \to W^s_{g_i}(p)$$

is a diffeomorphism fixing p and sending $\nabla^g f$ to $\nabla^{g_i} f$. Consequently, $h_i h^{-1}$ induces a diffeomorphism

$$\theta_i^p : S_{g_i}(p) \to S_g(p)$$

satisfying $\theta_i^p(\lambda x) = \lambda \theta_i^p(x)$ for every $\lambda \in L_{g_i}(p) = L_g(p)$ and $x \in S_{g_i}(p)$. If instead p is a source everything works verbatim replacing the stable manifold by the unstable one.

Applying now Lemma 4.2 to q (so that $V_q = T_qM$) we conclude the existence, for big enough i, of linear vector fields $\mathcal{X}_{g_i}(q) : V_q \to V_q$ satisfying $Z(\mathcal{X}_{g_i}(q)) = Z(\mathcal{X}_g(q))$, and Γ_q-equivariant embeddings

$$h_{g_i}(q) : V_q \to M$$

identifying $\mathcal{X}_{g_i}(q)$ with $\nabla^g f$. We also have analogous objects with g_i replaced by g and with q replaced by q'. We have

$$\mathcal{X}_{g_i}(q) \to \mathcal{X}_g(q) \text{ in } \operatorname{End} V_q, \quad \text{and} \quad h_{g_i}(q) \to h_g(q) \text{ in } \operatorname{Map}(V_p, M) \text{ with the } \mathcal{C}^1 \text{ topology}$$

as $i \to \infty$; we also have similar limits and equalities with q replaced by q'.

The map $h_{g_i}(q)h_g(q)^{-1}$ induces a diffeomorphism $\theta_i^q : S_{g_i}(q) \to S_g(q)$, which we extend diagonally to $\theta_i^q : S_{g_i}(q)^r \to S_g(q)^r$. The fact that $h_{g_i}(q) \to h_g(q)$ implies that for any $y \in F_g(q)$ we have $(\theta_i^q)^{-1}(y) \in F_{g_i}(q)$ for big enough i, because $F_{g_i}(q)$ is open.

Let $x_i := (\theta_i^p)^{-1}(x) \in \Omega_{g_i}(p,q)^r$. Since $g_i \to g$, for big enough i we have

$$\psi_i x_i \in \Omega_{g_i}(p,q')^r, \qquad \sigma_{g_i}^{p,q} x_i \in F_{g_i}(q), \qquad \sigma_{g_i}^{p,q'} \psi_i x_i \in F_{g_i}(q'),$$

(in the remainder of the proof we will implictly assume that i is big enough so that these inclusions are satisfied). We may write

$$v = \mathcal{Y}_{g,\zeta}(\sigma_g^{p,q} x),$$

where ζ is an element of $\operatorname{Lie} L_g(q)$ (recall that $\mathcal{Y}_{g,\zeta}$ denotes the vector field on $S_g(q)^r$ generated by the infinitesimal action of ζ). Using the equalities $L_{g_i}(q) = Z(\mathcal{X}_{g_i}(q)) = Z(\mathcal{X}_g(q)) = L_g(q)$ we look at ζ_i as an element of $L_{g_i}(q)$ and we define accordingly $v_i = \mathcal{Y}_{g_i,\zeta}(\sigma_{g_i}^{p,q} x_i)$. Now, it follows from our choice of ψ_i that

$$D(\sigma_{g_i}^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_{\psi_i} \circ \sigma_{g_i}^{q,p})(v_i) \in \mathcal{A}_{g_i}(q')(\sigma_{g_i}^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_{\psi_i}(x_i)). \tag{17}$$

The differential map $D\theta_i^{q'}$ induces a map between Grassmann varieties

$$\operatorname{Gr}(T_{\sigma_{g_i}^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_{\psi_i}(x_i)} S_{g_i}(q')^r) \to \operatorname{Gr}(T_{\theta_i^{q'} \circ \sigma_{g_i}^{p,'q} \circ \alpha_{\psi_i}(x_i)} S_g(q')^r);$$

denote the image of $\mathcal{A}_{g_i}(q')(\sigma_{g_i}^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_{\psi_i}(x_i))$ by $\mathcal{B}_i$. Using the convergences $h_{g_i}(q) \to h_g(q)$ and $h_i \to h$ it follows that $\theta_i^{q'} \circ \sigma_{g_i}^{p,'q} \circ \alpha_{\psi_i}(x_i) \to \sigma_g^{p,q} \circ \alpha_\psi(x)$ as $i \to \infty$, and moreover

$$\mathcal{B}_i \to \mathcal{A}_g(q')(\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_\psi(x)) \qquad \text{as } i \to \infty, \tag{18}$$

and

$$D\theta_i^{q'}(D(\sigma_{g_i}^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_{\psi_i} \circ \sigma_{g_i}^{q,p})(v_i)) \to D(\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_\psi \circ \sigma_g^{q,p})(v). \tag{19}$$

Combining (17), (18) and (19) we obtain a contradiction with (16). □

Lemma 6.2. *$\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}(p)$ is a dense subset of $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$.*

Proof. We will use the following refinement of Lemma 4.10, whose proof is postponed to Subsection A.2.

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$, $x \in M \setminus \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ and $y = \Phi_t^g(x)$ for some nonzero t. Suppose that the stabilizer Γ_x is trivial. Let $v \in T_x M$ be a nonzero vector, and let $w = D\Phi_t^g(x)(v)$. Given any $u \in T_v(TM)$ and any Γ-invariant open subset $U \subset M$ containing $\Phi_{t'}^g(x)$ for some $t' \in (0,t)$, there exists some $g' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, S^2T^*M)^\Gamma$ supported on U such that*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} D\Phi_{-t}^{g+\epsilon g'}(w)\bigg|_{\epsilon=0} = u.$$

Fix some $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$. We assume for concreteness throughout the proof that p is a sink. The case in which p is a source follows from the same arguments (or replacing f by $-f$).

We claim that the set of points in $S_g(p)$ with trivial stabilizer in Γ is open and dense. Indeed, the points in $W^s_g(p)$ with trivial stabilizer form an open and dense subset, thanks to (3) in Lemma 4.3 and the openness of $W^s_g(p) \subset M$. On the other hand, the stabilizer of any $z \in W^s_g(p)$ is equal to the stabilizer of the point in $S_g(p)$ it represents, because the action of Γ preserves f and the restriction of f to the fibers of the projection $W^s_g(p) \setminus \{p\} \to S_g(p)$ is injective.

Take some $\psi \in L_{g,K}(p)$. Since ψ does not belong to $\Gamma_p \subset L_g(p)$ and since the union of the sets $\{\Omega(p,q)\}_{q\in\mathbb{O}}$ is an open and dense subset of $S_g(p)$, one may take some $q, q' \in \mathbb{O}$ (not necessarily distinct) and a point $c \in \Omega(p,q)$ satisfying $\psi c \in \Omega(p,q')$ and $\psi c \neq \gamma c$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_p$. By the previous claim, we can also assume that the stabilizer of c is trivial.

Fix any metric on $S_g(p)$. For any $y \in S_g(p)$ and any $r > 0$ denote by $\overline{B}_{S,r}(y)$ the closed ball in $S_g(p)$ of radius r and center y. Choose $\epsilon > 0$ in such a way that $\gamma\overline{B}_{S,\epsilon}(c) \cap \psi\overline{B}_{S,\epsilon}(c) = \emptyset$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_p$, and in such a way that $\overline{B}_{S,\epsilon}(c) \subset \Omega_g(p,q)$ and $\psi\overline{B}_{S,\epsilon}(c) \subset \Omega_g(p,q')$. Replacing ϵ by a smaller number if necessary we can assume that the stabilizers of the points in $\overline{B}_{S,\epsilon}(c)$ are all trivial.

Let $\mathcal{O}_\psi$ be the set of $\psi' \in L_g(p)$ satisfying $\gamma\overline{B}_{S,\epsilon/2}(c) \cap \psi'\overline{B}_{S,\epsilon/2}(c) = \emptyset$ for every $\gamma \in \Gamma_p$. Clearly $\mathcal{O}_\psi$ is an open subset of $L_g(p)$ containing ψ.

Take real numbers $a < b < f(p)$ in such a way that $[a, f(p))$ does not contain any critical value of f. Recall that we denote the open ball in M with center z and radius δ by $B_\delta(z)$. Take $\delta > 0$ small enough so that $B_\delta(p)$ (resp. $B_\delta(q)$, $B_\delta(q')$) is entirely contained in $W^s_g(p)$ (resp. $W^u_g(q)$ and $W^u_g(q')$) and $\inf f(B_\delta(p)) > b$ (resp. $\sup f(B_\delta(q)) < a$ and $\sup f(B_\delta(q') < a$).

Take r distinct points $x_1, \dots, x_r \in \overline{B}_{S,\epsilon/2}(c)$ and tangent vectors $v_i \in T_{\sigma^{p,q}_g(x_i)}S_g(q)$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Letting $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r)$, we may assume that $\sigma^{p,q}_g(x) \in F_g(q)$ and $\sigma^{p,q'}_g(\psi x) \in F_g(q')$ because $F_g(q)$ (resp. $F_g(q')$) is dense in $S_g(q)$ (resp. $S_g(q')$). Shrinking $\mathcal{O}_\psi$ if necessary (but keeping it an open neighborhood of $\psi \in L_g(p)$) we can additionally assume that $\sigma^{p,q'}_g(\psi' x) \in F_g(q')$ for every $\psi \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_\psi} \subset L_g(p)$. We also assume that the closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}_\psi}$ of $\mathcal{O}_\psi$ in $L_g(p)$ is compact.

Pick points $x'_i \in B_\delta(p) \setminus \{p\}$, $y_i \in B_\delta(q) \setminus \{q\}$ representing $x_i \in S_g(p)$ and $\sigma^{p,q}_g(x_i) \in S_g(q)$ respectively, for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Choose also tangent vectors $v'_i \in T_{x'_i}M$ projecting to $v_i \in T_{x_i}S_g(p)$. Let $t_1, \dots, t_r$ be the real numbers defined by the condition that $y_i = \Phi^g_{t_i}(x'_i)$, and let $w_i = D\Phi^g_{t_i}(v'_i)$.

Let $U \subset M$ be an open Γ-invariant subset contained in $f^{-1}((a,b)) \cap W^s_g(p)$ whose projection to $S_g(p)$ contains $x_1, \dots, x_r$ and is disjoint from $\psi(\overline{B}_{S,\epsilon/2}(c))$. By Lemma 6.3 one can pick a finite dimensional vector subspace

$$G_\psi \subset \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, S^2T^*M)^\Gamma,$$

all of whose elements are supported in U, with the property that the linear map

$$G_\psi \ni g' \mapsto \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon} D\Phi^{g+\epsilon g'}_{-t_1}(w_1)\Big|_{\epsilon=0}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon} D\Phi^{g+\epsilon g'}_{-t_r}(w_r)\Big|_{\epsilon=0} \right) \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^r T_{v'_i}(TM) \tag{20}$$

is surjective.

Let G^0_ψ denote the set of $g' \in G_\psi$ such that $g+g'$ is a Riemannian metric and such that

$$\sigma^{p,q}_{g+g'}(x) \in F_{g+g'}(q) \text{ and } \sigma^{p,q'}_{g+g'}(\psi' x) \in F_{g+g'}(q') \text{ for every } \psi \in \mathcal{O}_\psi.$$

Since the support of each $g' \in G^0_\psi$ is disjoint from $\mathrm{Crit}(f)$, we have canonical identifications

$$S_g(p) = S_{g+g'}(p), \quad S_g(q) = S_{g+g'}(q), \quad S_g(q') = S_{g+g'}(q')$$

and similarly

$$F_g(q) = F_{g+g'}(q'), \quad F_g(q') = F_{g+g'}(q'), \quad \mathcal{A}_g(q') = \mathcal{A}_{g+g'}(q').$$

Using these identifications, we define the following two subvarieties of $G^0_\psi \times \mathcal{O}_\psi \times T(S_g(q')^r)$:

$$\mathbb{V}_\psi = \{(g', \psi', b) \in G^0_\psi \times \mathcal{O}_\psi \times T(S_g(q')^r) \mid b = D(\sigma^{q',p}_{g+g'} \circ \alpha_{\psi'} \circ \sigma^{q,p}_{g+g'})(v)\},$$

where $\alpha_{\psi'} : S_g(p)^r \to S_g(p)^r$ is as before the map given by the action of ψ. Let also

$$\mathbb{A}_\psi = \{(g', \psi', a) \in G^0_\psi \times \mathcal{O}_\psi \times TF_g(q') \mid a \in \mathcal{A}_{g+g'}(q')\} = G^0_\psi \times \mathcal{O}_\psi \times \mathcal{A}_g(q')|_{F_g(q')}$$

(the second equality follows from $\mathcal{A}_g(q') = \mathcal{A}_{g+g'}(q')$). It is straightforward but slightly tedious to check, using the surjectivity of the map (20), that $\mathbb{V}_\psi$ and $\mathbb{A}_\psi$ intersect transversely along $\mathbb{V}_\psi \cap \mathbb{A}_\psi \cap (\{0\} \times \mathcal{O}_\psi \times T(S_g(q')^r))$ (e.g. using similar arguments to those in the proof of Lemma 4.9).

Choose an open neighborhood $\mathcal{O}'_\psi \subset \mathcal{O}_\psi$ of ψ whose closure in $\mathcal{O}_\psi$ is compact.

Since $L_{g,K}(p)$ is compact, we may take a finite collection $\psi_1, \dots, \psi_s \in L_{g,K}(p)$ such that $L_{g,K}(p) \subset \mathcal{O}'_{\psi_1} \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{O}'_{\psi_s}$. Denote by $x(i) \in S_g(p)^r$ the tuple of points constructed when considering ψ_i, and let $v(i) \in T(S_g(p)^r)$ be the tuple of tangent vectors. Let

$$\mathbb{G} = \prod_j G^0_{\psi_j},$$

and let $\mathbb{G}^0 \subset \mathbb{G}$ be the collection of all tuples $h = (g'_j)$ such that $g(h) = g + \sum_j g'_j$ is a Riemannian metric and

$$\sigma^{p,q}_{g(h)}(x(i)) \in F_{g(h)}(q) = F_g(q) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma^{p,q'}_{g(h)}(\psi' x(i)) \in F_{g(h)}(q') = F_g(q')$$

for every $\psi \in \mathcal{O}_{\psi_i}$. Note that $\mathbb{G}^0$ is an open neighborhood of $0 \in \mathbb{G}$.

Now consider, for each $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$,

$$\mathbb{V}_i = \{(h, \psi', b) \in \mathbb{G}^0 \times \mathcal{O}_{\psi_i} \times T(S_g(q')^r) \mid b = D(\sigma^{q',p}_{g(h)} \circ \alpha_{\psi'} \circ \sigma^{q,p}_{g(h)})(v(i))\}$$

and its subvariety

$$\mathbb{V}'_i = \{(h, \psi', b) \in \mathbb{G}^0 \times \mathcal{O}'_{\psi_i} \times T(S_g(q')^r) \mid b = D(\sigma^{q',p}_{g(h)} \circ \alpha_{\psi'} \circ \sigma^{q,p}_{g(h)})(v(i))\}.$$

Let also

$$\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{G}^0 \times L_g(p) \times \mathcal{A}_g(q')|_{F_g(q')}.$$

Note that $\mathbb{V}_i$, $\mathbb{V}'_i$, $\mathbb{A}$ are subvarieties of $\mathbb{G}^0 \times L_g(p) \times T(S_g(q')^r)$.

Let $N_i = \mathbb{V}_i \cap \mathbb{A} \cap (\{0\} \times \mathcal{O}_{\psi_i} \times T(S_g(p)^r)$. The transversality condition on the intersections $\mathbb{V}_{\psi_i} \cap \mathbb{A}_{\psi_i}$ mentioned earlier implies that $\mathbb{V}_i$ and $\mathbb{A}$ intersect transversely along N_i. Consequently, there exists a neighborhood of N_i,

$$\mathcal{N}_i \subset \mathbb{G}^0 \times \mathcal{O}_{\psi_i} \times T(S_g(q')^r),$$

such that the intersection $\mathbb{V}_i \cap \mathbb{A} \cap \mathcal{N}_i$ is a smooth manifold whose dimension satisfies

$$d - \dim(\mathbb{V}_i \cap \mathbb{A} \cap \mathcal{N}_i) = \min\{d+1, (d - \dim \mathbb{V}_i) + (d - \dim \mathbb{A})\},$$

where

$$d = \dim \mathbb{G}^0 \times L_p(g) \times T(S_g(q')^r).$$

This formula is consistent with the convention that a set is empty if and only if its dimension is -1. Consider the projection

$$\pi_i : \mathbb{V}_i \cap \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{G}^0.$$

Since the closure of $\mathbb{V}'_i$ inside $\mathbb{V}_i$ is compact, there exists a neighborhood of 0, $\mathbb{G}^{00}_i \subset \mathbb{G}^0$, with the property that $\pi_i^{-1}(\mathbb{G}^{00}_i) \cap \mathbb{V}'_i \subset \mathcal{N}_i$. Hence, $\pi_i^{-1}(\mathbb{G}^{00}_i) \cap \mathbb{V}'_i$ is a smooth manifold. Let

$$\mathbb{G}^{\mathrm{reg}}_i \subset \mathbb{G}^{00}_i$$

be the set of regular values of π_i restricted to $\pi_i^{-1}(\mathbb{G}^{00}_i)$. We claim that for every $(g'_j) \in \mathbb{G}^{\mathrm{reg}}_i$ we have

$$\pi_i^{-1}((g'_j)) \cap \mathbb{V}'_i = \emptyset.$$

To prove the claim it suffices to check that $\dim \pi_i^{-1}((g'_j)) \cap \mathbb{V}'_i = -1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \pi_i^{-1}((g'_j)) \cap \mathbb{V}'_i &= \dim(\mathbb{V}_i \cap \mathbb{A} \cap \mathcal{N}_i) - \dim \mathbb{G}^0 \\ &= d - \min\{d+1, (d - \dim \mathbb{V}_i) + (d - \dim \mathbb{A})\} - \dim \mathbb{G}^0.\end{aligned}$$

If $(d - \dim \mathbb{V}_i) + (d - \dim \mathbb{A}) \geq d+1$ then this is clearly negative. So assume that instead $(d - \dim \mathbb{V}_i) + (d - \dim \mathbb{A}) < d+1$. Since the projection of $\mathbb{V}_i$ to $\mathbb{G}^0 \times \mathcal{O}_{\psi_i}$ is a diffeomorphism, we have

$$d - \dim \mathbb{V}_i = d - (\dim \mathbb{G}^0 \times \mathcal{O}_{\psi_i}) = d - (\dim \mathbb{G}^0 \times L_g(p)) = \dim T(S_g(q')^r) = 2r(n-1).$$

On the other hand we have (using (2) in Lemma 5.3)

$$\begin{aligned}d - \dim \mathbb{A} &= \dim T(S_g(q')^r) - \dim \mathcal{A}_g(q')|_{F_g(q')} \\ &\geq 2r(n-1) - (r(n-1) + n^2 - 1) = r(n-1) - n^2 + 1.\end{aligned}$$

In this situation we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \pi_i^{-1}((g'_j)) \cap \mathbb{V}'_i &\leq d - 2r(n-1) - r(n-1) + n^2 - 1 - \dim \mathbb{G}^0 \\ &= \dim L_p(g) \times T(S_g(q')^r) - r(n-1) - rn + n^2 - 1 \\ &\leq n^2 + 2r(n-1) - r(n-1) - rn + n^2 - 1 \\ &= 2n^2 + r(n-1) - 1.\end{aligned}$$

Our choice of r, see (14), implies that the latest expression is negative, so the claim is proved.

Finally, let

$$\mathbb{G}^{\mathrm{reg}} = \mathbb{G}^{\mathrm{reg}}_1 \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{G}^{\mathrm{reg}}_s.$$

It follows from our construction that for every $(g'_j) \in \mathbb{G}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ the sum $g' := g + \sum_j g'_j$ is a metric that belongs to $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}(p)$. Indeed, suppose that $(g'_j) \in \mathbb{G}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ and let $\psi \in L_{g,K}(p)$ be any element. Then $\psi \in \mathcal{O}'_{\psi_i}$ for some i and we have, on the one hand,

$$x(i) \in S_{g'}(p,q)^r, \quad \psi x(i) \in S_{g'}(p,q')^r, \quad \sigma^{p,q}_{g'}(x(i)) \in F_{g'}(q), \quad \sigma^{p,q'}_{g'}(\psi x(i)) \in F_{g'}(q'),$$

and, on the other hand, the fact that $\pi_i^{-1}((g'_j)) \cap \mathbb{V}'_i = \emptyset$ implies that

$$D(\sigma_{g'}^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_\psi \circ \sigma_{g'}^{q,p})(v(i)) \notin \mathcal{A}_{g'}(q')(\sigma_{g'}^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_{\psi'}(x(i))).$$

Now, Sard's theorem (see e.g. [9, Chap 3, §1.3]) implies that $\mathbb{G}^{\mathrm{reg}}$ is residual in $\mathbb{G}_1^{00} \cap \cdots \cap \mathbb{G}_s^{00}$, so in particular it is dense in a neighborhood of 0. Consequently, $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}$ is dense in a neighborhood of g. □

Recall that $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K} = \bigcap_{p\in\mathbb{E}} \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}(p)$. The preceding two lemmas imply:

Lemma 6.4. *$\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}$ is a dense an open subset of $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$.*

7. Proof of Theorem 1.1 for $\dim M > 1$

Continuing with the notation of the previous sections, let us define

$$\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f = \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0 \cap \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1 \cap \bigcap_{K\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}.$$

Since each of the sets appearing in the right hand side of the equality is open and dense in $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ (see Subsection 4.1 and Lemmas 4.9 and 6.4), $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ is a residual subset of $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$. Fix some $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_f$ and let $\phi \in \mathrm{Aut}(\nabla^g f)$. We are going to check that there exists some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\phi(x) = \Phi_t^g(\gamma\, x)$$

for every $x \in M$. This will prove Theorem 1.1.

Recall that $M^* \subset M$ is the complement of finitely many codimension 1 submanifolds of M and that M^* has finitely many arcconnected components (see Subsection 4.2).

Lemma 7.1. *For each connected component $M_0 \subset M^*$ there exists some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi(x) = \Phi_t^g(\gamma\, x)$ for every $x \in M_0$.*

Proof. Let $M_0 \subset M^*$ be a connected component. Since M_0 is invariant under the flow of $\nabla^g f$, there exists some sink $p \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $W_g^s(p) \cap M_0 \neq \emptyset$. By property (C2) in the definition of $\mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_0$ (see Subsection 4.1) there exists some $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\phi(p) = \gamma\, p$. Hence, up to composing ϕ with the action of γ, we can (and do) suppose that $\phi(p) = p$.

Once we know that ϕ fixes p, we conclude that it restricts to a diffeomorphism of $W_g^s(p)$ preserving $\nabla^g f$, which we identify with an element $\phi_p \in L_g(p)$ via the isomorphism (7). Next, let us prove that the action of ϕ_p on $S_g(p)$ coincides with the action of some $\gamma \in \Gamma_p$. If this is not the case, then $\phi_p \in L_{g,K}^*(p)$ for some $K \in \mathbb{N}$ (see Subsection 6.1). Since $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_{2,K}$, it follows that there exist two sources $q, q' \in \mathbb{O}$ and $x \in \Omega(p,q)^r$ satisfying

$$x \in \Omega(p,q)^r, \qquad \phi_p x \in \Omega_g(p,q')^r, \qquad \sigma_g^{p,q} x \in F_g(q), \qquad \sigma_g^{p,q'} \phi_p x \in F_g(q'),$$

and a vector $v \in \mathcal{A}_g(q)(\sigma_g^{p,q}(x))$ satisfying

$$D(\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_{\phi_p} \circ \sigma_g^{q,p})(v) \notin \mathcal{A}_g(q')(\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_{\phi_p}(x)). \tag{21}$$

By the definition of $\mathcal{A}_g(q)$, we may write $v = \mathcal{Y}_{g,s}(\sigma_g^{p,q} x)$ for some $s \in \mathrm{Lie}\, L_g(q)$.

The fact that $x \in \Omega(p,q)^r$ and $\phi_p x \in \Omega_g(p,q')^r$ implies that $\phi(q) = q'$, so ϕ maps $W^u_g(q)$ diffeomorphically to $W^u_g(q')$; since ϕ preserves $\nabla^g f$, ϕ induces by conjugation an isomorphism

$$\psi : L_g(q) \to L_g(q').$$

The corresponding map at the level of Lie algebras associates to s an element $\psi(s) \in \operatorname{Lie} L_g(q')$, and in fact we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_{\phi_p} \circ \sigma_g^{q,p})(v) &= D(\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_{\phi_p} \circ \sigma_g^{q,p})(\mathcal{Y}_{g,s}(\sigma_g^{p,q} x)) \\ &= \mathcal{Y}_{g,\psi(s)}(\sigma_g^{p,q'}(\phi_p x)). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

The last expression manifestly belongs to $\mathcal{A}_g(q')(\sigma_g^{p,q'} \circ \alpha_{\phi_p}(x))$, and this contradicts (21). So we have proved that there is some $\gamma \in \Gamma_p$ such that $\gamma^{-1}\phi_p$ acts trivially on $S_g(p)$. Now statement (1) in Lemma 5.3 implies that $\gamma^{-1}\phi_p = e^{t\mathcal{X}_g(p)}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{R}$, so we may write $\phi_p = \gamma e^{t\mathcal{X}_g(p)}$ or, equivalently, that $\phi_p(y) = \Phi^g_t(\gamma\, y)$ for every $y \in W^s_g(p)$.

Clearly the previous arguments apply to any sink (resp. source) whose stable (resp. unstable) manifold intersects M_0. To state this more concretely, for any $q \in \mathbb{E}$ we denote for convenience $W_g(q) = W^s_g(q)$ if q is a sink and $W_g(q) = W^u_g(q)$ if q is a source. Let $\{q_1, \dots, q_s\} = \{q \in \mathbb{E} \mid W_g(q) \cap M_0 \neq \emptyset\}$. Now, what we have proved implies the existence of $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_s \in \Gamma$ and $t_1, \dots, t_s \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi_p(y) = \Phi^g_{t_j}(\gamma_j\, y)$ for every $y \in W_g(q_j)$.

We claim that if $W_g(q_i) \cap W_g(q_j) \neq \emptyset$ then $\gamma_i = \gamma_j$ and $t_i = t_j$. Of course we only need to consider the case $i \neq j$. Denote for convenience $U = W_g(q_i) \cap W_g(q_j)$, which is an open subset of M. Let $x \in U$ be any point. Since x is not a critical point (for otherwise it could not belong simultaneously to $W_g(q_i)$ and $W_g(q_j)$) the map $t \mapsto f(\Phi^g_t(x))$ is injective. Since on the other hand the Γ-invariance of f implies $f(\Phi^g_t(\gamma\, x)) = f(\Phi^g_t(x))$ for every t and γ (because $\Phi^g_t(\gamma\, x) = \gamma\, \Phi^g_t(x)$, because $\nabla^g f$ is Γ-invariant) it follows that $t_i = t_j$. This implies that $\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma_j$ acts as the identity on U. But since $\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma_j$ acts on M through a finite order diffeomorphism (as it is an element of Γ), either its fixed point set is a proper smooth submanifold (hence has empty interior, because M is connected), or $\gamma_i^{-1}\gamma_j$ acts trivially on M (see (2) in Lemma 4.3). Consequently, we must be in the second situation and since Γ acts effectively on M it follows that $\gamma_i = \gamma_j$.

To conclude the proof of Lemma 7.1 note that, since $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}_1$, we may apply Lemma 4.11 and conclude that $M_0 \cap \bigcup_j W_g(q_j)$ is arconnected. Since each $W_g(q_j)$ is open in M, this implies that for any $i \neq j$ we may find numbers $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ such that $i = i_1$, $j = i_k$ and $W_g(q_{i_l}) \cap W_g(q_{i_{l+1}}) \neq \emptyset$ for every l. In view of this, the lemma follows immediately from the previous claim. □

Denote by $M_1, \dots, M_u$ the connected components of M^*. By Lemma 7.1 there exist $t_1, \dots, t_u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_u \in \Gamma$ such that for any $x \in M_i$ we have $\phi(x) = \Phi^g_{t_i}(\gamma_i\, x)$. Now we claim that $t_1 = \cdots = t_u$ and $\gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_u$. Note that since M^* is dense in M it follows from the claim and the continuity of ϕ that there exists some $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that $\phi(x) = \Phi^g_{t_i}(\gamma\, x)$ for every $x \in M$. Hence the proof of Theorem 1.1 will be concluded once we justify the claim.

Take two arbitrary points $x, y \in M^*$ with $x \in M_e$ and $y \in M_{e'}$, and $e, e' \in \{1, \dots, u\}$. Since $P = M \setminus M^* = \bigcup_{Y \in \mathcal{P}} Y$ is the union of finitely many codimension 1 smooth submanifolds of M, we may take a smooth path $\alpha : [0,1] \to M$ from x to y such that $\alpha^{-1}(P)$ is finite and such that $\alpha([0,1]) \cap P$ does not contain any critical point of f. We

may suppose that α intersects transversely each $Y \in \mathcal{P}$. By (3) in Lemma 4.4 we may also assume that for each $Y \in \mathcal{P}$ and each $y \in Y \cap \alpha([0,1])$ we have $\Gamma_y = \langle\gamma\rangle$, where $\gamma \neq 1$ and $Y \subset M^\gamma$.

Suppose that $\alpha^{-1}(P) = \{0 < \lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_\nu < 1\}$. Define for convenience $\lambda_0 := 0$ and $\lambda_{\nu+1} := 1$. Define natural numbers $e_1, \ldots, e_{\nu+1}$ by the condition that $\alpha((\lambda_{i-1}, \lambda_i)) \subset M_{e_i}$. Let us prove that $t_{e_i} = t_{e_{i+1}}$. Since ϕ, α, Φ^t_g and the action of Γ on M are continuous, we have

$$\phi(\alpha(\lambda_i)) = \lim_{\delta\to 0^-} \phi(\alpha(\lambda_i+\delta)) = \lim_{\delta\to 0^-} \Phi^g_{t_{e_i}}(\gamma_{e_i}\,\alpha(\lambda_i+\delta)) = \Phi^g_{t_{e_i}}(\gamma_{e_i}\,\alpha(\lambda_i)) = \gamma_{e_i}\,\Phi^g_{t_{e_i}}(\alpha(\lambda_i)),$$

and arguing similarly with $\delta \to 0^-$ replaced by $\delta \to 0^+$ we obtain

$$\phi(\alpha(\lambda_i)) = \gamma_{e_{i+1}}\,\Phi^g_{t_{e_{i+1}}}(\alpha(\lambda_i)).$$

Equating the two expressions, applying f, and taking into account that f is Γ-invariant, we deduce that

$$f(\Phi^g_{t_{e_i}}(\alpha(\lambda_i))) = f(\Phi^g_{t_{e_{i+1}}}(\alpha(\lambda_i))).$$

Since $\alpha(\lambda_i)$ is not a critical point, the map $t \mapsto f(\Phi^g_t(\alpha(\lambda_i)))$ is strictly increasing, so it follows that $t_{e_i} = t_{e_{i+1}}$, as we wanted to prove. Applying induction we conclude that $t_e = t_{e_0} = t_{e_{\nu+1}} = t_{e'}$. Since x and y we chosen arbitrarily, it follows that $t_1 = \cdots = t_u$.

Consequently, composing ϕ with Φ^g_t for some t we may assume that there exist $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_u \in \Gamma$ such that for any $x \in M_i$ we have $\phi(x) = \gamma_i\, x$. Following with the previous notation, we may apply (4) in Lemma 4.4 to conclude that $\gamma_{e_i} = \gamma_{e_{i+1}}$ for each i, thus obtaining by induction that $\gamma_e = \gamma_{e'}$, and hence $\gamma_1 = \cdots = \gamma_u$.

Appendix A. Change of the gradient flow as the metric varies

A.1. **Proof of Lemma 4.10.** We recall, for the reader's convenience, the statement that we want to prove.

Lemma A.1. *Suppose that $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$, $x \in M \setminus \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ and $y = \Phi^g_t(x)$ for some nonzero t. Assume that the stabilizer Γ_x is trivial. Given any $v \in T_xM$ and any Γ-invariant open subset $U \subset M$ containing $\Phi^g_{t'}(x)$ for some $t' \in (0,t)$, there exists some $g' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, S^2T^*M)^\Gamma$ supported on U such that*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\epsilon}\Phi^{g+\epsilon g'}_{-t}(y)\bigg|_{\epsilon=0} = v.$$

We will use the following two lemmas.

Lemma A.2. *Let $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$. Suppose that $Y \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; TM)^\Gamma$ satisfies $\operatorname{supp} Y \cap \mathrm{Crit}(f) = \emptyset$. There exists some $\delta > 0$ and a smooth map $G : (-\delta, \delta) \to \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$ such that, denoting $g_\epsilon = G(\epsilon)$, we have $g_0 = g$ and, for every $\epsilon \in (-\delta, \delta)$, $\nabla^{g_\epsilon} f = \nabla^g f + \epsilon Y$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the following elementary fact in linear algebra. Let V be a finite dimensional real vector space and let $\alpha \in V^*$ be a nonzero element. Let $\mathcal{E} \subset S^2V^*$ be the open subset of Euclidean pairings, and let $\nabla : \mathcal{E} \to V$ be the map defined by the property that $e(\nabla(e), u) = \alpha(u)$ for every $u \in V$. Then ∇ is a submersion with contractible fibers. □

For any vector field X on M we denote by $\Phi^X_t : M \to M$ the flow at time t of X.

Lemma A.3. *Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; TM)$. Suppose that $p \notin \operatorname{supp} Y$. For any t we have*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Phi_t^{X+sL_XY}(p)\bigg|_{s=0} = Y(\Phi_t^X(p)).$$

Proof. If $X(p) = 0$ then the formula is immediate. So suppose that $X(p) \neq 0$. Take an open neighborhood $U \subset M$ of $\{\Phi_\tau^X \mid 0 \leq \tau \leq t\}$ and coordiantes $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) : U \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to which $p = (0, \ldots, 0)$ and $X = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$, so that $\Phi_\tau^X(x_1, \ldots, x_n) = (x_1 + \tau, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$. Suppose that $x_*(L_XY|_U) = \sum a_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$ and that $x_*(Y|_U) = \sum b_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}$. Since $Y(p) = 0$, we have $b_j(t, 0, \ldots, 0) = \int_0^t a_j(\tau, 0, \ldots, 0)\, d\tau$ for every j. Let $\gamma(t, s) = x(\Phi_t^{X+sL_XY}(p))$. Let $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ denote the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\frac{\partial \gamma(t,s)}{\partial s}\bigg|_{s=0} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\frac{\partial \gamma(t,s)}{\partial t}\bigg|_{s=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left((1, 0, \ldots, 0) + s\sum a_j(\gamma(t,s))\, e_j\right)\bigg|_{s=0} \\ &= \sum a_j(\gamma(t,0))e_j = \sum a_j(t, 0, \ldots, 0)\, e_j.\end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\frac{\partial \gamma(t,s)}{\partial s}\bigg|_{s=0} = \sum\left(\int_0^t a_j(\tau, 0, \ldots, 0)\, d\tau\right) e_j = \sum b_j(t, 0, \ldots, 0)\, e_j = x_*(Y(\Phi_t^X(p))),$$

which proves the desired formula. □

Let us now prove Lemma 4.10/A.1. Fix some $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$, let $x \in M \setminus \operatorname{Crit}(f)$, and let $y = \Phi_t^g(x)$ for some nonzero t. Suppose that the stabilizer Γ_x is trivial, let $v \in T_xM$ be any element and let $U \subset M$ be a Γ-invariant open subset containing $\Phi_{t'}^g(x)$ for some $t' \in (0, t)$. Let $X = \nabla^g f$. Since $x \notin \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ and Γ_x is trivial, there exists an invariant vector field $Y \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; TM)^\Gamma$ whose support is contained in $U \setminus \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ and which satisfies $Y(x) = v$. By Lemma A.3 we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\Phi_{-t}^{X+sL_XY}(y)\bigg|_{s=0} = Y(x).$$

By Lemma A.2 there exists some $\delta > 0$ and a family of metrics $\{g_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon \in (-\delta, \delta)}$ satisfying $g_0 = g$ and $\nabla^{g_\epsilon} f = \nabla^g f + \epsilon Y$ for every $\epsilon \in (-\delta, \delta)$. Setting $g' = \partial g_\epsilon / \partial \epsilon|_{\epsilon=0}$ it follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon}\Phi_{-t}^{g+\epsilon g'}(y)\bigg|_{\epsilon=0} = v.$$

A.2. **Proof of Lemma 6.3.** Recall what we want to prove.

Lemma A.4. *Suppose that $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$, $x \in M \setminus \operatorname{Crit}(f)$ and $y = \Phi_t^g(x)$ for some nonzero t. Suppose that the stabilizer Γ_x is trivial. Let $v \in T_xM$ be a nonzero vector, and let $w = D\Phi_t^g(x)(v)$. Given any $u \in T_v(TM)$ and any Γ-invariant open subset $U \subset M$ containing $\Phi_{t'}^g(x)$ for some $t' \in (0, t)$, there exists some $g' \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, S^2T^*M)^\Gamma$ supported on U such that*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \epsilon} D\Phi_{-t}^{g+\epsilon g'}(w)\bigg|_{\epsilon=0} = u. \tag{23}$$

Proof. Let $\pi : TM \to M$ denote the projection and let $D\pi : T(TM) \to TM$ denote its derivative. A vector field X on M defines a vector field $\widetilde{X}$ on TM by the condition that

$$D\Phi_t^X = \Phi_t^{\widetilde{X}} \tag{24}$$

for every t. In particular, $\Phi_{-t}^{\widetilde{X}}(w) = v$.

We will use these properties of the map $X \mapsto \widetilde{X}$: (1) it is linear, (2) $D\pi(\widetilde{X}(u)) = X(\pi(u))$ for every vector field X and any $u \in TM$, (3) if $X(a) = 0$ for some point $a \in M$, then the restriction of $\widetilde{X}$ to T_aM is vertical and can be identified with the linear vector field $T_aM \to T_aM$ given by the endomorphism $DX(a)$ of T_aM, and (4) it is compatible with Lie brackets: $\widetilde{[X,Y]} = [\widetilde{X}, \widetilde{Y}]$.

Let $g \in \mathcal{M}\mathrm{et}^\Gamma$, let $x \in M \setminus \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ be a point with trivial stabilizer, and let $y = \Phi_t^g(x)$ for some nonzero t. Let $v \in T_xM$ be nonzero and let $w = D\Phi_t^g(x)(v)$. Finally, suppose given $u \in T_v(TM)$ and a Γ-invariant open subset $U \subset M$ containing $\Phi_{t'}^g(x)$ for some $t' \in (0,t)$.

Let $X = \nabla^g f$. Since $x \notin \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ and Γ_x is trivial, there exists an invariant vector field $Y_0 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; TM)^\Gamma$ whose support is contained in $U \setminus \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ and which satisfies $Y_0(x) = D\pi(u)$. Then $u_1 = \widetilde{Y_0}(v) - u$ satisfies $D\pi(u_1) = 0$. Let $L : T_xM \to T_xM$ be a linear map satisfying $Lv = u_1$, and let $Y_1 \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M; TM)^\Gamma$ have support contained in $U \setminus \mathrm{Crit}(f)$ and satisfy $Y_1(x) = 0$ and $DY_1(x) = L$. Let $Y = Y_0 + Y_1$. Then $\widetilde{Y}(v) = u$. By (24), the properties of the map $X \mapsto \widetilde{X}$, and Lemma A.3 (with M replaced by TM in the statement), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} D\Phi_{-t}^{X+sL_XY}(w)\Big|_{s=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Phi_{-t}^{\widetilde{X+sL_XY}}(w)\Big|_{s=0} = \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \Phi_{-t}^{\widetilde{X}+sL_{\widetilde{X}}\widetilde{Y}}(w)\Big|_{s=0} = \widetilde{Y}(v).$$

By Lemma A.2 there exists some $\delta > 0$ and a family of metrics $\{g_\epsilon\}_{\epsilon \in (-\delta,\delta)}$ satisfying $g_0 = g$ and $\nabla^{g_\epsilon} f = \nabla^g f + \epsilon Y$ for every $\epsilon \in (-\delta, \delta)$. Then $g' = \partial g_\epsilon / \partial \epsilon|_{\epsilon=0}$ satisfies (23). □

References

[1] B. Anderson, Diffeomorphisms with discrete centralizer, *Topology* **15** (1976), no. 2, 143–147.

[2] C. Bonatti, S. Crovisier, A. Wilkinson, The C^1 generic diffeomorphism has trivial centralizer, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes tudes Sci.* **109** (2009) 185–244.

[3] C. Bonatti, S. Crovisier, A. Wilkinson, The centralizer of a C^1-generic diffeomorphism is trivial, *Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci.* **15** (2008) 33–43.

[4] C. Bonatti, S. Crovisier, A. Wilkinson, Local density of diffeomorphisms with large centralizers, *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér.* (4) **41** (2008), no. 6, 925–954.

[5] G.E. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. **46**, Academic Press, New York-London (1972).

[6] N.A. Bykov, Smooth equivalence of vector fields on the circle (Russian, English summary), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk Ukrain. SSR* 1991, no. 3, 57, **164**.

[7] M. Castrillón López, J. Muoz Masqu, E. Rosado Mara, Killing vector fields of generic semi-Riemannian metrics, *Milan J. Math.* **83** (2015), no. 1, 47–54.

[8] M.J. Field, Equivariant dynamical systems, *Trans AMS* **259** (1980), no. 1, 185–205.

[9] M.W. Hirsch, *Differential Topology*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer, New York, 1976.

[10] M.W. Hirsch, C.C. Pugh, M. Shub, *Invariant manifolds*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. **583**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977.

[11] N. Hitchin, Vector fields on the circle, *Mechanics, analysis and geometry: 200 years after Lagrange*, 359–378, North-Holland Delta Ser., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991.
[12] N. Kopell, Commuting diffeomorphisms, *1970 Global Analysis* (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XIV, Berkeley, Calif., 1968) pp. 165–184 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.
[13] P. Mounoud, Metrics without isometries are generic, *Monatsh. Math.* **176** (2015), no. 4, 603–606.
[14] C. Morlet, Le lemme de Thom et les théorèmes de plongement de Whitney. I. Les topologies des espaces d'applications. (French) 1961/1962 *Séminaire Henri Cartan*, 1961/62, Exp. 4 5 pp. Secrétariat mathématique, Paris
[15] J. Palis, W. de Melo, *Geometric theory of dynamical systems. An introduction,* Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
[16] J. Palis, J.-C. Yoccoz, Rigidity of centralizers of diffeomorphisms. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **22** (1989), no. 1, 81–98.
[17] J. Palis, J.-C. Yoccoz, Centralizers of Anosov diffeomorphisms on tori. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* (4) **22** (1989), no. 1, 99–108.
[18] P.R. Sad, Centralizers of vector fields, *Topology* **18** (1979) 97–104.
[19] S. Smale, Differentiable dynamical systems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73** 1967 747–817.
[20] S. Smale, Dynamics retrospective: great problems, attempts that failed, *Nonlinear science: the next decade* (Los Alamos, NM, 1990). Phys. D 51 (1991), no. 1–3, 267–273.
[21] S. Smale, Mathematical problems for the next century, *Math. Intelligencer* **20** (1998), no. 2, 7–15.
[22] S. Sternberg, Local contractions and a theorem of Poincar, *Amer. J. Math.* **79** (1957) 809–824.
[23] Y. Togawa, Generic Morse-Smale diffeomorphisms have only trivial symmetries, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **65** (1977) 145–149.
[24] F.J. Turiel, A. Viruel, Finite C^∞-actions are described by a single vector field, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **30** (2014), no. 1, 317–330.
[25] F.W. Warner, Foundations of differentiable manifolds and Lie groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **94**, Springer, New York (1983).
[26] A.G. Wasserman, Equivariant differential topology, *Topology* **8** (1969) 127–150.

Facultat de Matemàtiques i Informàtica, Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain

E-mail address: ignasi.mundet@ub.edu